On a new species of *Euryozius* Miers, 1886 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Pseudoziidae) from the Philippines, with notes on the taxonomy of the genus

Peter K. L. Ng and Lawrence M. Liao

(PKLN) Department of Biological Science, National University of Singapore, Kent Ridge, Singapore 119260, Republic of Singapore, e-mail: peterng@nus.edu.sg;

(LML) Department of Biology, University of San Carlos, 6000 Cebu City, Cebu, Philippines, e-mail: uscplib@pinya.usc.edu.ph

Abstract.—A new species of the rarely reported genus *Euryozius* Miers, 1886, is described from the Philippines and is distinguished from its congeners by carapace and ambulatory leg proportions, anterolateral margin armature, and form of the frontal margin. This new species, *Euryozius camachoi*, is only the third species of the genus reported from the Indo-Pacific, and the only one for which males are known. Two other Indo-Pacific congeners, *E. canorus* (Rathbun, 1911) and *E. danielae* Davie, 1992, are known only from type female specimens. The systematic position of *Euryozius* is discussed, and the genus is transferred to the Pseudoziidae Alcock, 1898. The Pseudoziidae is here recognized as a distinct family, separate from the Goneplacidae where it had been regarded as a subfamily.

The genus *Euryozius* Miers, 1886, currently contains five recognized species; three from the Atlantic, *E. bouvieri* (A. Milne-Edwards, 1869) (= *Ozius edwardsi* Barrois, 1888), *E. pagalu* Manning & Holthuis, 1981, *E. sanguineus* (Linnaeus, 1767) (= *Pseudozius mellissi* Miers, 1881); one from the Indian Ocean, *E. canorus* (Rathbun, 1911); and one from the Pacific, *E. danielae* Davie, 1992.

Recently, we had an opportunity to examine a series of relatively deep-water xanthoid crabs from Balicasag Island in the Philippines collected by commercial shell collectors. Several of these specimens proved to belong to a new species of *Euryozius*, described herein. The description of this new taxon and comparisons with congeners form the basis of the present paper. The systematic position of the genus *Euryozius* is also briefly discussed. The specimens examined are deposited in the University of San Carlos (USC), Cebu City, Cebu, Philippines; and the Zoological Reference Collection (ZRC), Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research, National University of Singapore. The measurements are cited in the following order: cw (carapace width) \times cl (carapace length). The abbreviations G1 and G2 are used for the male first and second pleopods respectively.

Euryozius Miers, 1886

- Pseudozius (Euryozius) Miers, 1886:141 (type species: Xantho bouvieri A. Milne-Edwards, 1869, by monotypy; gender masculine)
- Gardineria Rathbun, 1911:236 (type species: Gardineria canora Rathbun, 1911, by monotypy; gender feminine)
- *Euryozius.*—Guinot, 1968:325; Manning & Holthuis, 1981:124.

Discussion.—Pseudozius (Euryozius) Miers, 1886, was established with Xantho bouvieri A. Milne-Edwards, 1869, from the Atlantic as type species. Two other Atlantic species are known, viz. E. pagalu Manning & Holthuis, 1981, and E. sanguineus (Linnaeus, 1767). Rathbun (1911) described a new genus and species, Gardineria canora Rathbun, 1911, from the Indian Ocean, but Gardineria Rathbun, 1911, is now regarded as a junior synonym of Euryozius Miers, 1886 (Guinot 1971, Manning & Holthuis 1981, Crosnier in Serène 1984). Until the discovery of the new species described herein, no male specimen of any Indo-Pacific Euryozius species was known. Euryozius canorus and E. danielae were described from Providence Island in the Seychelles and Society Islands in French Polynesia respectively, both from female specimens. The characters of the male specimens of the new species described herein affirm that all species discussed above are congeneric.

The taxonomic position of Euryozius Miers, 1886, has been the subject of some debate. Although most authors (e.g., Manning & Holthuis 1981, Davie 1992, Crosnier in Serène 1984) regard it as a xanthoid crab, its precise position within the superfamily (sensu Guinot 1978) is uncertain. Guinot (1967:264, footnote) implied that Gardineria was close to Pseudozius Dana, 1851 (which she indicated was synonymous with Euryozius), and both were allied to Carpilius Desmarest, 1823. She (Guinot 1967), however, placed Gardineria in Xanthinae sensu stricto, but Pseudozius in Menippinae. Subsequently, Guinot (1968a:156) resurrected Euryozius as a valid genus and also referred it to the Menippinae. In a later development, Guinot (1968b:320, 325) decided that Carpilius, Gardineria and Euryozius should be placed in their own subfamily, the Carpiliinae, in the Xanthidae. Manning & Holthuis (1981:124), in a concise discussion of the history and systematic problems associated with this genus, left Euryozius in the Xanthidae sensu lato. With the redefinition of the Xanthoidea by Guinot (1978), all the above mentioned subfamilies were raised to family status. In the most recent discussion of Euryozius, the genus was regarded as incerta sedis by Crosnier (in Serène 1984:313).

The retention of Euryozius in Xanthidae, Carpiliidae or Eriphiidae (a senior name for Menippidae sensu Guinot 1978, fide Ng 1988) is not feasible. All members of the Xanthidae (sensu Guinot 1978, Serène 1984) have male abdominal segments 3 to 5 completely fused, slender G1s and a short G2 that is less than a quarter the length of the G1; characters very different from what is known for Euryozius. Adult members of Carpiliidae have male abdominal segments 3 and 4 fused and immovable, and the G2 is as long as or longer than the G1. Neither can Euryozius be accomodated in Eriphiidae as all its members have a G2 which is as least as long as the G1.

Euryozius is certainly close to *Pseudozius*. In addition to their very similar carapace appearance (smooth, ovate, with illdefined regions), members of both genera have all seven male abdominal segments (including telson) freely articulating; the G1s relatively slender, gently sinuous, relatively simple, with stiff, short spines lining the distal half; and a G2 which is 0.2 to 0.3 times the length of the G1. There is, however, little doubt that *Euryozius* and *Pseudozius* are distinct genera, the prominent stridulatory crest of the proximal part of the anterolateral margin of *Euryozius* being very diagnostic.

Ng & Wang (1994) resurrected the Pseudoziinae Alcock, 1898, for *Pseudozius*, and they also suggested that the genus *Flindersoplax* Davie, 1989, should also be referred to this subfamily. They tentatively referred Pseudoziinae to the Goneplacidae. Considering the number of shared characters between *Euryozius* and *Pseudozius*, it therefore seems logical to also refer the former, as well as *Flindersoplax*, to the Pseudoziinae as well.

The placement of the Pseudoziinae in the Goneplacidae is, however, very unsatisfactory, its members very different from the taxa now in the Goneplacidae (Ng et al. 2001:32, 33). In the form of the male abdomen, G1 and G2 structures, pseudoziines are clearly not closely related to the other

subfamilies now included in the Goneplacidae (Goneplacinae, Euryplacinae, Carcinoplacinae, Chasmocarcininae and Trogloplacinae). One subfamily, Pseudorhombilinae, which has traditionally been placed in the Goneplacidae (see Guinot 1971), was recently recognized as a distinct family (Hendrickx 1996). On the same rationale, the unique suite of characters possessed by Pseudozius, Flindersoplax and Euryozius as noted above indicate that it is best to regard Pseudoziinae as a distinct family as well. In fact, Crosnier (in Serène 1984:301) had commented that the late Raoul Serène planned to establish a new family, Pseudoziidae, for Pseudozius, but his untimely death had prevented this.

Ng et al. (2001:33) commented that with "... regards to the Planopilumninae, the type species of the type genus, Planopilumnus spongiosus (Nobili, 1905), is actually not a pilumnid at all but closer to goneplacids like the Pseudoziinae instead. The genus Planopilumnus as currently understood, is heterogeneous." The genus Planopilumnus sensu stricto and the Planopilumninae Serène, 1984, should also be transferred to the Pseudoziidae. As the carapace and pereiopod structures of Planopilumnus sensu stricto, and Pseudozius, Flindersoplax and Euryozius, are very different, it seems best to recognise two subfamilies within the Pseudoziidae for now. In addition, the aberrant genus Platychelonion Crosnier & Guinot, 1969 (type species Platychelonion plannissimum Crosnier & Guinot, 1969), whose familial classification is currently uncertain (Crosnier & Guinot 1969:726, 729, 730), should also be transferred to the Pseudoziidae as defined here. The male abdomen of *Platychelonion* (all segments freely articulating), a G1 that has numerous short spines on the side, and a short G2 (ca. 0.3-0.4 times total length of G1), are all pseudoziid characters. The general form of Platychelonion actually resembles Flindersoplax, although its carapace is superficially similar to Planopilumnus. Pla*tychelonion* is here referred to the Pseudoziinae.

Euryozius camachoi, new species Figs. 1–3

Material examined.—Holotype: male, 24.3 × 15.8 mm, USC 2002.02, Balicasag Island, Panglao, Bohol, Visayas, Philippines, in tangle nets, 200-300 m, coll. local shell fishermen, Dec 2000. Paratypes: 1 male, 25.6×16.4 mm, 1 female, $20.7 \times$ 13.0 mm, ZRC 2001.334, same data as holotype; 1 dried male, 23.5×14.8 mm, ZRC 2001.342, Balicasag Island, Panglao, Bohol, Visayas, Philippines, in tangle nets, 200-300 m, coll. local shell dealer, Dec 2001; 1 female, 25.0 × 15.8 mm, ZRC 2001.2325, Balicasag Island, Panglao, Bohol, Visayas, Philippines, in tangle nets, 200-300 m, coll. local shell fishermen, 28 Nov 2001.

Description of holotype.—Carapace transversely ovate, 1.53 times broader than long; regions barely defined, grooves separating epigastric regions not clearly discernible; H-shaped gastric grooves separating cardiac and gastric regions very shallow, just discernible (Fig. 1a, b); dorsal surface of carapace glabrous; frontal and anterolateral regions and areas immediately adjacent to them pitted and/or gently rugose, rest of surface with scattered small pits, smooth; postorbital and epigastric regions barely discernible as slightly inflated areas, without discernible cristae or granules (Fig. 1b). Front bilobed, slightly produced anteriorly, margin extends well beyond level of orbits, slightly deflexed downwards; each lobe gently convex, margin somewhat uneven with small, irregularly arranged granules, with a low ridge just behind it; lobes separated from each other by shallow but distinct V-shaped cleft (Figs. 1b, 2a). Supraorbital margin uneven, lined with scattered small granules of unequal sizes, with 2 small but just discernible clefts (Figs. 1b, 2a, b). Infraorbital margin lined with small and large rounded granules,

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON



Fig. 1. *Euryozius camachoi*, new species. Paratype male, 25.6×16.4 mm, ZRC 2001.334. a, overall view; b, carapace; c, anterior part of thoracic sternum.

VOLUME 115, NUMBER 3



Fig. 2. *Euryozius camachoi*, new species. Paratype male, 25.6×16.4 mm, ZRC 2001.334. a, front end of carapace, showing antennules, antennae and third maxillipeds; b, left orbit and stridulatory anterolateral margin; c, left chela.

without spines or teeth (Fig. 2a, b). Orbits transversely ovate; eyes small, cornea well developed (Fig. 2a, b). External orbital angle represented by small, acutely triangular granuliform tooth; anterolateral margin convex, clearly demarcated from gently convex, strongly converging posterolateral margin; with 2 relatively low, sharp teeth on posterior third of margin, last tooth with prominent submedian ridge which extends partially into branchial region (Figs. 1a, b, 2a, b); margin before anterolateral teeth lined with well developed stridulatory granules, each granule subrectangular, arranged obliquely; proximal part of anterolateral margin not meeting external orbital tooth but curving downwards below orbit, across suborbital region, stopping just before pterygostomial region (Fig. 2a, b). Posterior margin of carapace gently convex (Fig. 1a, b). Suborbital and sub-branchial regions covered with scattered, unevenly shaped granules, gently rugose; suborbital region with inner surface, behind stridulatory anterolateral margin, gently depressed (Fig. 2a). Pterygostomial region gently rugose. Antennules folding transversely, antennular fossae subrectangular (Fig. 2a). Antennal flagellum well developed, flagellum extending just beyond outer edge of orbit; basal segment rectangular, with subsequent articles lodged into relatively broad orbital hiatus but freely movable (Fig. 2a, b). Posterior margin of epistome gently sinuous, median lobe low, broadly triangular with small median cleft (Fig. 2a). Endostome with low oblique ridge on each side.

Outer surfaces of third maxilliped punctate; ischium subrectangular, median oblique sulcus barely discernible; merus subquadrate, anteroexternal angle auriculiform; carpus rounded, subpediform (Figs. 2a, 1c, 3b); exopod relatively stout, reaching anterior edge of merus, with rounded subdistal tooth on inner margin, flagellum long (Fig. 3b).

Ambulatory legs relatively long, slender, surfaces smooth, unarmed except for subdistal dorsal margin which may have a low tooth or angle (Fig. 1a). Second ambulatory leg longest. Dactylus styliform, slightly flattened laterally, without spines or stiff setae.

Chelipeds asymmetrical, right larger; outer surfaces of merus, carpus and chelae smooth or at most with scattered small pits; carpus longer than broad, inner distal angle with prominent sublamelliform triangular tooth which gradually extends posteriorly as a low lamelliform plate (Fig. 1a); merus smooth, unarmed. Fingers shorter than palm, pigmented black throughout length, outer surface with longitudinal rows of pits, especially on smaller chela; cutting edges of pollex with prominent teeth, that of larger chela with prominent large sub-basal tooth; cutting edges of dactylus with low teeth or unarmed (Fig. 2c).

Thoracic sternum relatively broad, surface gently rugose; suture between sternites 1 and 2 not discernible; suture between sternites 2 and 3 distinct; deep suture and well developed tranverse ridge between sternites 3 and 4 distinct marginally, medially discernible as shallow groove; sternite 4 broad, with distinct but shallow longitudinal groove which extends into abdominal cavity (Fig. 1c, 3a); all subsequent sternites separated medially by prominent longitudinal groove; sternite 8 completely covered by last male abdominal segment. Male gonopore coxal.

All abdominal segments freely movable, not fused, smooth or gently pitted; segments 3–5 increasingly trapezoidal, segment 6 subrectangular, lateral margins gently concave; telson semicircular, with lateral edges slightly produced laterally (Figs. 1c, 3d).

G1 long, relatively slender, gently sinuous, margins of distal two-thirds with short spines, tip subtruncate, opening vertically (Fig. 3d, e). G2 about one-third length of G1, with spatuloid process, distal segment relatively short (Fig. 3f).

Variation.—The carapace width to length proportions of the four paratypes are 1.56 and 1.59 (male specimens), and 1.58 and 1.59 (female specimens), but other than VOLUME 115, NUMBER 3



Fig. 3. *Euryozius camachoi*, new species. Paratype male, 25.6×16.4 mm, ZRC 2001.334. a, anterior part of thoracic sternum; b, outer view of left third maxilliped; c, abdomen; d, dorsal view of left G1; e, ventral view of left G1; f, dorsal view of left G2. Scales equal 5.0 mm (a–c), and 0.5 mm (d–f).

this, they agree very well with the holotype in all other non-sexual features. The male holotype, which is slightly smaller than the male paratypes, has a carapace ratio of 1.53. On the basis of just five specimens, it is not possible to determine if the carapace shows any allometric growth.

Color.—Uniform light orangish to dark red on all dorsal surfaces.

Etymology.—The authors take great pleasure in naming this species after Father Florante Camacho, president of Divine Word College in Bohol, and ex-president of the University of San Carlos, for his enthusiastic help and support of the present study.

Remarks.—Euryozius camachoi, new species, is easily distinguished from its Atlantic congeners by its relatively long and slender ambulatory legs, a feature it shares with the other two Indo-West Pacific species, *E. canorus* and *E. danielae*.

From *E. danielae*, *E. camachoi* can easily be distinguished by its less broad carapace (width to length ratio 1.53–1.59 vs. 1.65 in *E. danielae*), slightly smaller anterolateral teeth, the more rounded anteroexternal angle of the merus of the third maxilliped, and the relatively wider suborbital region (cf. Davie 1992:543, fig. 12, pl. 13). The types of both species are comparable in sizes so the differences discussed here (including carapace ratios) are very unlikely to be ageassociated. The type and only known specimen of *E. danielae* is 26.7 by 16.3 mm while the holotype of *E. camachoi* is 24.3 by 15.8 mm.

From *E. canorus, E. camachoi* can be distinguished by its relatively wider carapace (width to length ratio 1.53–1.59 vs. 1.45 in *E. canorus*), the anterolateral teeth being more prominent (vs. very low in *E. canorus*), the proportionately longer and more slender ambulatory legs, the frontal margin being less distinctly deflexed downwards with the median cleft separating the lobes distinct (vs. just discernible), and the completely pigmented cheliped fingers (vs. only distally) (cf. Rathbun 1911:182, pl. 19,

figs. 7, 8; Crosnier in Serène 1984:48A). The type and only known specimen of *E. canorus*, however, is a juvenile, measuring only 11.2 by 7.7 mm, and some of the differences discussed here may be due to size. Nevertheless, the degree of the differences strongly suggest that they are two distinct species. Rathbun (1911:236) had originally believed the type of *E. canorus* to be a male, but subsequent studies (see Manning & Holthuis 1981:124) have shown that it is actually a young female.

The present specimens of *E. camachoi* were obtained by shell-collectors for the trade, using tangle nets set on the deep reef slope at depths of 200 to 300 m. This is a poorly explored habitat, not readily accessible to SCUBA divers or trawlers, and may explain the apparent rarity of specimens of this genus. *Euryozius canorus* was collected from a depth of 53 m while *E. danielae* was caught in a trap set at 110 m depth.

Acknowledgements

Father Florante Camacho was also wonderfully hospitable during the authors' visits to Bohol, and provided much needed assistance. The study has been partially supported by a grant to the first author from the National University of Singapore. The ideas on the Pseudoziidae have been developed through long but very productive discussions with Danièle Guinot and Peter Davie during our ongoing reappraisal of brachyuran classification.

Literature Cited

- Alcock, A. 1898. Materials for a Carcinological Fauna of India. No. 3. The Brachyura Cyclometopa, part I. The Family Xanthidae.—Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal (Calcutta), 67(2), no. 1:67–233.
- Barrois, T. 1888. Catalogue des Crustacés marins recuellis aux Açores Durant les mois d'Août et de Septembre 1887. Lille, 110 pp., 4 pls.
- Crosnier, A., & D. Guinot. 1969. Un nouveau crabe ouest-Africain, *Platychelonion planissimum* gen. nov., sp. nov—Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (2)41(3):725– 730.

VOLUME 115, NUMBER 3

- Dana, J. D. 1851. 1. On the Classification of the Cancroidea; III. Zoology. Scientific Intelligence.— American Journal of Science and Arts (2)12(34):121–131.
- Davie, P. J. F. 1989. A re-appraisal of *Heteropanope* Stimpson, and *Pilumnopeus* A. Milne-Edwards (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pilumnidae) with descriptions of new species and genera.—Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 27(2):129–156.
 — . 1992. Deepwater xanthid crabs from French Polynesia (Crustacea, Decapoda, Xanthoi-
- dea).—Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (4)14(A2):501–561, pls. 1–13.
 Desmarest, A. G. 1823. Crustacés Malacostracés. In
- Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles. F. G. Levrault et Le Normant, Strasbourg & Paris, 28: 138–425, atlas, vol. 4, pl. 1–58.
- Guinot, D. 1967. La faune carcinologique (Crustacea Brachyura) de l'Océan Indien occidental et de la Mer Rouge. Catalogue, remarques biogéo-graphiques et bibliographie. *In* Réunion de Spécialistes C. S. A. sur les Crustacés, Zanzibar 1964. Organisation of African Unity Publication 96:235–352. [also published with same pagination in Mémoires de l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire, Ifan-Dakar, 1966 (1967), 77]
 - —. 1968a. Recherches préliminaires sur les groupements naturels chez les Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures, V. Établissement d'un caractère èvolutif: l'articulation ischiomérale des chélipèdes.—Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (2)40(1):149–166, pl. 1.
 - —. 1968b. Recherches préliminaires sur les groupements naturels chez les Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures, VI. Les Carpilinae.—Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (2)40(2):320–334, pls. 1, 2.
 - —. 1971. Recherches préliminaires sur les groupements naturels chez les Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures, VIII. Synthèse et bibliographie.
 — Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (2)42(5):1063–1090.
 - ——. 1978. Principes d'une classification évolutive des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures.—Bulletin Biologique de la France et de la Belgique, new series 112(3):211–292.
- Hendrickx, M. E. 1998. A new genus and species of "goneplacid-like" brachyuran crab (Crustacea: Decapoda) from the Gulf of California, Mexico, and a proposal for the use of the family Pseudorhombilidae Alcock, 1900.—Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 111(3): 634–644.
- Linnaeus, C. 1767. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis, 12th edition, 1(2):533–1327. Stockholm.

- Manning, R. B., & L. B. Holthuis. 1981. West African brachyuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda).— Smithsonian Contributions in Zoology 306:1– 379.
- Miers, E. J. 1881. Crustacea. In Report on a collection made by Mr. T. Conry in Ascension Island.— Annals and Magazine of Natural History (5)8: 432–434.
- -. 1886. Part II.-Report on the Brachyura collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-76. In Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876 under the command of Captain George S. Nares, N.R., F.R.S. and the late Captain Frank Tourle Thomson, R.N. prepared under the Superintendence of the late Sir C. Wyville Thomson, Knt., F.R.S. &c. Regius Professor of Natural history in the University of Edinburgh of the civilian scientific staff on board and now of John Murray one of the naturalists of the Expedition. Zoology. Published by Order of Her Majesty's Government. London, Edinburgh & Dublin, HMSO. 17:i-l + 1-362, pls. 1-29.
- Milne-Edwards, A. 1869. Description de quelques espèces nouvelles de Crustacés provenant du voyage de M. A. Bouvier aux Iles du Cap Vert.— Revue et Magazine du Zoologie Pure et Applique, Paris 21:350–355, 374–373, 409–412 (pp. 1–13 separate).
- Ng, P. K. L. 1998. Crabs. Pp. 1045–1155 in K. E. Carpenter & V. H. Niem, eds., FAO Species identification guide for fishery purposes. The living marine resources of the Western Central Pacific, vol. 2. Cephalopods, crustaceans, holothurians and sharks. Food and Agriculture Organisation, Rome.
- Ng, P. K. L., & C.-H. Wang. 1994. Notes on the enigmatic genus *Pseudozius* Dana, 1851 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura).—Journal of the Taiwan Museum 47:83–99.
- —, —, P.-H. Ho, & H.-T. Shih. 2001. An annotated checklist of brachyuran crabs from Taiwan (Crustacea: Decapoda).—National Taiwan Museum Special Publication Series, Taipei 11:1–86, 8 color pls.
- Rathbun, M. J. 1911. No XI.—Marine Brachyura. In The Percy Sladen Trust Expedition to the Indian Ocean in 1905 under the leadership of Mr. J. Stanley Gardiner, vol. III.—Transactions of the Linnaean Society of London (2)14(2):191–261, pls. 15–20.
- Serène, R. 1984. Crustacès Dècapodes Brachyoures de l'Ocean Indien occidental et de la Mer Rouge, Xanthoidea: Xanthidae at Trapeziidae. Avec un addendum par Crosnier, H.: Carpiliidae et Menippidae.—Faune Tropicale (ORSTOM), 24:1– 400, pls. 1–48.



Ng, Peter K. L. and Liao, L M. 2002. "On A New Species Of Euryozius Miers, 1886 (Crustacea : Decapoda : Brachyura : Pseudoziidae) From The Philippines, With Notes On The Taxonomy Of The Genus." *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 115, 585–593.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/110040</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/49276</u>

Holding Institution Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Biological Society of Washington License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.