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#### Abstract

Paulin, C. D., 1986. A new genus and species of morid fish from shallow coastal waters of southern Australia. Mem. Mus. Vict. 47: 201-206.

Eeyorius hutchinsi, a new monotypic genus and species is described from specimens collected in shallow coastal waters of Tasmania, Victoria and Western Australia. Affinities lie with Pseudophycis and Lotella but Eeyorius differs from those genera in dentition and otolith shape.


## Introduction

The family Moridae is represented in shallow coastal waters of Australia by Pseudophycis Günther and Lotella Kaup (Paulin, 1983). Both genera are readily distinguished on the basis of otolith shape (Karrer, 1971; Fitch and Barker, 1972) and dentition. Otoliths of Pseudophycis can be distinguished from those of Lotella by the expanded rather than smooth dorsal margin; a crista superior as long as crista inferior rather than two-thirds length; ostium equal to rather than shorter than cauda; and a flat rather than recessed collum.

Pseudophycis has a band of brush-like teeth whereas Lotella has an outer row of large widely spaced teeth and an inner band of smaller teeth (Kaup, 1858; Günther, 1862; Cohen, 1979).

Specimens of Moridae with otoliths characteristic of Lotella but with a band of brush-like teeth were reported from Victoria, Australia, by Paulin (1983). These, and a number of additional specimens are here described as a new genus and species.

## Methods

Methods of taking counts and measurements follow Paulin (1983). Counts of first dorsal fin rays include the minute rudimentary first ray. Osteological observations were made on a specimen cleared and stained by the trypsin-
alizarin technique, and supplemented by radiographs of other specimens.

Specimens examined are deposited in the following institutions: Australian Museum, Sydney (AM); Western Australian Museum, Perth (WAM); Museum of Victoria, Melbourne (NMV); National Museum of New Zealand, Wellington (NMNZ).

Eeyorius gen. nov.
Lotella.-Paulin, 1983: 82 (not Lotella Kaup).
Material examined. Pseudophycis bachus, 6 specimens +4 pairs otoliths, NMNZ P. 7730; P. barbatus 10 specimens + 2 pairs otoliths, NMNZ P.6783, P.7707; P. breviuscula 8 specimens +1 pair otoliths, NMNZ P.14301; Lotella rhacinus 12 specimens +6 pairs otoliths, NMNZ P. 4098 , 4640; AM I15330-15; L. phycis 6 specimens +1 pair otoliths, AM I20270-008, WAM P. 26004-011.

Diagnosis. Snout obtusely rounded, not projecting beyond mouth. Maxillary extending to beneath rear margin of orbit. Barbel present. Teeth small, pointed, arranged in 5-6 irregular rows forming a brush-like band on jaws. Upper jaw with a graded series, the outer teeth only slightly larger. Lower jaw with teeth of equal size. No teeth on vomer or palantines.

Otolith pointed at both ends, ostium comprises $40.6 \%$ of otolith length and is shorter than cauda; Crista superior almost as long as crista inferior (Fig. 1).

## Type species. Eeyorius hutchinsi sp. nov.



Table 1. Distinguishing characteristics of Eeyorius, Pseudophycis and Lotella

|  | Eeyorius | Pseudophycis | Lotella |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dentition | Brush-like band | Brush-like band | Outer series of large <br> widely spaced teeth |
| Otolith: <br> dorsal margin <br> crista superior | smooth <br> almost as long as <br> crista inferior | expanded <br> as long as <br> crista inferior | smooth <br> two-thirds length <br> of crista inferior |
| Ostium | shorter than cauda | equal to cauda | shorter than cauda |
| Collum | recessed | flat | recessed |

Etymology. Named for Eeyore, a literary character who lived in damp places.

Remarks. Eeyorius closely resembles Pseudophycis in external morphology and dentition and differs from that genus in otolith shape. Otoliths of Eeyorius are similar to Pseudophycis in thickness and in having a crista superior almost as long as, or as long as the crista inferior but differ in having a relatively smooth unexpanded mid-dorsal region, and an ostium shorter than the cauda.

Eeyorius is perhaps more closely related to

Lotella but differs from that genus in having a band of brush-like teeth and lacking an outer row of relatively large, widely spaced sharp pointed teeth. Eeyorius also differs in having a depressed head, oval in cross section whereas Lotella has a rounded head. Otoliths of Eeyorius differ from Lotella in being thinner, and in having a crista superior almost as long as the crista inferior (Table 1).

The use of otoliths in defining morid genera (Karrer, 1971; Fitch and Barker, 1972; Paulin, 1983) allows reliable identification of the genera which is often difficult using other
characteristics. Because of differences in otolith shape and dentition a new genus is here recognised, intermediate between two presently recognised genera.

To merge Eeyorius with either or both Pseudophycis or Lotella would require division at the subgeneric level and a complete revision of the taxonomy of the family, reducing 18 genera to subgenera within about seven genera, a taxonomy which would be unrealistic.

Karrer (1971) and Paulin (1983) considered that the affinities of Lotella possibly lay with the 'Physiculus-group' of morids rather than the 'Pseudophycis-group' as considered by Fitch and Barker (1972). Otoliths of Eeyorius show affinities with both Lotella and Pseudophycis as shown in Table 1.

Eeyorius and Lotella should both be considered part of the Pseudophycis-group of morids and not the Physiculus-group.
Osteology.
Neurocranium (Fig. 3a, b). Elongate, flattened dorsally, roughly triangular shape when viewed dorsally. Sutures readily visible. Sensory canals with very thin crests of bone. Pre-maxillary-ethmovomerine complex with some cartilage present. Prominent otic bullae enclosing very large otoliths and formed from pro-otics and basioccipitals. Circumorbitals (Fig. 3c) thin; preorbital long. First neural spine shorter than second but very broad.

Jaws and suspensorium (Fig. 3d). Maxilla relatively slender, curved, with prominent anterior pedicel for articulation with premaxil-lary-ethmovomer. Mandible broad, composed of dentary, retroarticular and angular. Quadrate triangular; sympletic blade like; hyomandibular flat with broad thickened regions leading to articular surfaces with the spherotic, pterotic, opercle and symplectic; metapterygoid roughly triangular; mesopterygoid broad, thin; ectopterygoid elongate; palatine complex with a straight anterior projection.

Hyoid arch (Fig, 3f). Interhyal a flattened rod-like bone extending from the medial side of the hyomandibular-symplectic joint to the upper end of the epihyal; epihyal a triangular plate; ceratohyal elongate and broad, anterior and more slender; hypohyals of unequal size, the ventral hypohyal larger.


Figure 2. Eeyorius hutchinsi, paratype, 180 mm SL, WAM P. 27545-001.

Pectoral girdle (Fig. 3g). Supracleithrum elongate, thin; cleithrum large, its leading edge folded to form a canal; scapula roughy rectangular, thin; coracoid rod-like.

Opercular apparatus (Fig. 3e), Opercle triangular with concave trailing margin bordered by strong points; subopercle flat, bladelike with numerous finger-like projections on trailing margin; interopercle broad, elongate with finger-like projections; preopercle very broad, its leading edge with a strong ridge, posterior to which is a thin roof of bone over the preopercular sensory canal.

## Eeyorius hutchinsi sp. nov.

## Figure 2

## Material examined.

Holorype. Vic. Port Phillip Bay ( $38^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ S. $144^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.), poison, 5 Mar 1981. B. Hutchins, WAM P.27128-001, 194 mm SL.

Pararypes. Vic. Wilsons Promontory, Oberon Bay ( $39^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 146^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.), poison, $7.6-12.2 \mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{Feb} 1982, \mathrm{M}$. Gomon and J. Jones, NMV A2360(6 specimens: 78-264 mm SL ), NMNZ P. $14578(1,180 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$ ). Wilsons Promontory, Lconard Bay ( $39^{\circ} 01.5^{\prime}$ S., $146^{\circ} 17.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.), poison, 7.6 m. 20 Feb 1982, R. Wilson, P. Forsyth and J. Floyd, NMV A2542(2: $178,187 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL). Wilsons Promontory, Cape Wellington ( $39^{\circ} 04.1^{\prime}$ 'S., $146^{\prime 2} 28.6^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.) , poison, 5 Fcb 1982, R. Kuiter and M. MacDonald, NMV A2938(2: 184, 191 mm SL). Wilsons Promontory, Norman Point ( $38^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $146^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ E.), poison, 25 Feb 1982, B. Hutchins, WAM P. 27123-001(1: 105 mm SL). Wilsons Promontory.
 B. Hutchins, WAM P. 27126-001 (2; 87, 209 mm SL )

Tas. Esperance Point ( $43^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ S. $147^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ E.) , 8 Feb 1982. B. Hutchins, WAM P.27545-001, 002 (4: 89-180 mm SL). Port Arthur, ( $43^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ S., $147^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ E.) , 14 Fcb 1982, B. Hutchins, WAM P.27549-006(1: 245 mm SL). Bridport ( $41^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ S. . $147^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ E.), 3 Mar 1982, B. Hutchins, WAM P. 27564-003(1: 183 mm SL).

WA. Rottnest Island ( $32^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$., $115^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$.). 1 Jun 1982, B. Hutchins, WAM P. 27616-003(1: 160 mm SL ). Rottnest Island ( $32^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ S., $115^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ E.) , poison, 5 May 1982, B. Hutchins, WAM P. $25781-001$ (1: 145 mm SL ).

Description. Meristics and morphometric measurements given in Table 2. Body elongate, compressed, greatest depth at origin of second dorsal; preanal length 2.1 times in standard length. Head broad, depressed oval in cross section about 1.7 times in preanal length and 3.6 times in standard length. Head as broad as body. Body completely covered in small scales. Head with similar scales; snout, lips and bran-
chiostegal membranes naked. Scales extending onto basal third of vertical fin membranes. Eye diameter equal to about two-thirds of snout length, 5.9 times in head length.

Posterior nostril a small simple pore, a short distance in front of eye; anterior nostril with a forward directed tube, immediately anterior to posterior nostril.

Mouth oblique, maxillary reaching vertical from centre of eye. Upper jaw overlapping lower. Chin with a barbel slightly longer than diameter of eye. Interorbital space flat, interorbital distance greater than diameter of eye. Gill rakers relatively short, the longest about two-thirds as long as gill filaments. Pyloric caeca moderately sized.

Lateral line, a continuous tube with about 30 pores, rises sharply above pectoral base then gradually descends in a slightly wavy path to midway down the body and extends on to the caudal peduncle.

First dorsal origin slighty behind pectoral base, first ray minute, longest (3rd) equal in length to snout. Second dorsal commences immediately behind first, height greater than first, uniform throughout length. Anal fin origin immediately behind anus, beneath 10th ray of dorsal. Both dorsal and anal fins enveloped in loose membranes with minute scales. Caudal fin rounded. Pectoral inserted midway down body, rounded, more than half length of head. Ventrals with a flat base, two outermost rays longest, falling short of anus by a distance equal to diameter of eye.

Colour (in formalin and isopropyl alcohol). Head and body brownish grey, slightly paler on ventral surface of head. Fin uniform brownish grey. Buccal and branchial cavities pale.
Etymology. The species is named for Barry Hutchins of the Western Australian Museum's Department of Fishes.

Remarks. Eeyorius hutchinsi is known from Port Phillip Bay ( $38^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 144^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and Wilsons Promontory ( $39^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 146^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) in Victoria, from Port Arthur ( $43^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 147^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and Bridport $\left(41^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 147^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ in Tasmania and from Rottnest Island ( $32^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 115^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) in Western Australia, in depths of 7.5-12.0 m.


Figure 3. Eeyorius hutchinsi, paratype, WAM P.27126-001. Osteology. a, neurocranium, lateral view; b, neurocranium, dorsal view; c, circumorbital, left; d, mandibular and palatine arches, left; e, opercular apparatus, left; f, hyoid arch, left; g , pectoral girdle, left.

Abbreviations: AN, angular; AR, articular; BR, branchiostegal ray; CD, coracoid; CH, ceratohyal; CL, cleithrum; CO, circumorbital; DE, dermothmoid; DY, dentary; EH, epihyal; EO, epiotic; EP, ectopterygoid; FL, frontal; H, hypohyal; HM, hyomandibular; IH, interhyal; IOP, interopercle; LE, lateral ethmoid; MS, mesopterygoid; MT, metapterygoid; MY, maxillary; NA, nasal; OP, opercle; PA, parasphenoid; PL, parietal; PN, palatine; PT, pterotic; POP, preopercle; PY, premaxillary; QU, quadrate; R, radial; SA, scapula; SCL, supracleithrum; SOP, subopercle; SY, symplectic; VO, vomer.

Table 2. Counts and measurements for type specimens of Eeyorius hutchinsi sp. nov.

|  | Holotype Paratypes $(\mathrm{n}=22)$ | mean |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Counts |  |  |  |
| Ist dorsal fin | 6 | 6 | 6.0 |
| 2nd dorsal fin | 52 | $52-58$ | 54.6 |
| Anal fin | 47 | $43-48$ | 45.7 |
| Pectoral fin | 24 | $24-25$ | 24.8 |
| Vertical scale rows | 233 | $218-240$ | 230.0 |
| Transverse scale rows |  |  |  |
| above lateral line | $1+6$ | $19-22$ | 20.1 |
| Gill rakers | 10 | $1-2+5-6$ | $1.1+5.6$ |
| Pyloric caeca | 44 | $42-44$ | 11.9 |
| Vertebrae | 194.1 | $89.0-263.0$ | 43.4 |
| Standard length (mm) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Measurements (percentage of SL) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 17.6 |
| Body depth at ventral | 18.6 | $15.5-18.6$ | 21.2 |
| insertion | 22.6 | $18.5-22.6$ | 27.1 |
| Body depth at anal origin | 27.2 | $26.2-28.9$ | 5.1 |
| Head length | 4.6 | $4.7-5.9$ | 8.2 |
| Orbit diameter | 8.2 | $7.6-9.4$ | 13.7 |
| Snout length | 13.6 | $12.5-14.6$ | 6.6 |
| Maxillary length | 6.8 | $5.9-7.4$ | 30.8 |
| Interorbital width | 29.7 | $29.0-32.5$ | 25.9 |
| Predorsal length | 27.9 | $22.6-3.3$ | 47.8 |
| Preventral length | 46.3 | $43.6-53.4$ | 16.3 |
| Preanal length | 16.3 | $14.9-17.8$ | 5.9 |
| Pectoral fin length | 5.9 | $4.8-7.1$ |  |
| Barbel length |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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