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*Saissetia* Déplanche, 1859 (Insecta, Homoptera): proposed designation of *Lecanium coffeae* Walker, 1852 as the type species

Yair Ben-Dov  
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**Abstract.** The purpose of this application is the conservation of the accepted interpretation of *Saissetia* Déplanche, 1859 as a genus of soft scale insects (Coccidae), with some species of economic importance. *Lecanium coffeae* Walker, 1852 is commonly taken to be the type species, but the genus was originally based on *Saissetia coffeae* Déplanche, 1859. The description of Déplanche’s *coffeae* shows that it was a mealybug (Pseudococcidae), but the species cannot now be identified and the suppression of its name is proposed.

1. Déplanche (1859, pp. 6–7) described the genus *Saissetia* for one new species, *Saissetia coffeae*. The description was given in an article on coffee diseases in Tahiti, which was published in the *Messager de Tahiti*, a weekly newspaper issued in Papeete, Tahiti. In this article Déplanche presented accounts of: the damage caused by the insects in a coffee plantation in Faaa, Tahiti; the distinct difference between the coffee coccoid and a different species on guava; the general appearance of an infested coffee tree; descriptions of the female and the male of *S. coffeae* on coffee; description of the female during oviposition; development of the egg and the embryo; and a general discussion on the pest and its control.

2. Because of the unavailability of the *Messager de Tahiti* in scientific libraries, several parts from the description which are relevant to the present request are cited here: ‘... Ainsi le coccinien du caféier n’est pas le même que le coccinien du Gouyavier, ... Nous nous bornerons donc pour le moment à la description du coccinien du caféier; nous examinerons son mode de développement, ses ravages, ... Les femelles, se caractérisent par un corps peu épais, aplati, mou, du forme ovalaire; par des antennes composées de neuf articles, et par des tarses n’en ayant qu’un seul. Le corps présente des anneaux bien distincts, terminés de deux en deux par des espèces de languettes qui vont en diminuant de longueur de l’arrière à l’avant. A côté des deux postérieures, inégales et les plus longues, et un peu en dehors, sont deux soies noires, allongées, terminées en pointe. Tout le corps secrète une matière blanche, cotonneuse qui le recouvre entièrement. ... La bouche, est formée de labre épais et munie de quatre soies assez longues, ... À l’époque de la fécondation, le corps des femelles secrète la matière cotonneuse en plus grande abondance; ... Parmi de nombreux caractères semblables à ceux que l’on rencontre chez des insectes de la même tribu, nous en voyons d’autres qui en diffèrent trop essentiellement pour ne pas former un genre nouveau de l’espèce qui nous occupe. Nous proposons donc, pour le Coccinien du Caféier, de Genre *saissetia*; (S. coffeae) du nom du Gouverneur actuel des Etablissements Français de l’Océanie.’
3. The description, being remarkably detailed for its time, presents several distinct characters which show that *Saissetia coffeae* Déplanche, 1859 was not a soft scale insect (Coccidae), but rather represented a species, which cannot now be identified with confidence, in the mealybug family (Pseudococcidae).

4. Eudes-Deslongchamps (1859, pp. 203–207) published an account of Déplanche’s original paper, summarising the description and including the name *Saissetia coffeae* in the *Bulletin de la Société Linnéenne de Normandie*.

5. Fauvel (1865) published a note (9 lines long) in which he stated that ‘... l’espèce de Coccinien décrite par M. Émile Déplanches [sic] ... sous le nom de *Saissetia coffeae*, Dépl., n’est autre que le *Leucanium* [sic] *coffeae* ...’. Fauvel, a member of the Société Linnéenne de Normandie, was a general biologist but not a scale insect student. There is no indication in the note that he had examined Déplanche’s material, and it is very likely that he introduced this erroneous synonymy because of the identical specific names: *S. coffeae* Déplanche, 1859 and *Lecanium coffeae* Walker, 1852 (p. 1079). This erroneous synonymy, of a mealybug species with that of a soft scale insect, was the origin of the confusion in the genera discussed in this request.

6. The name *Saissetia* was not used in scale insect studies from the date of its description until the end of the 19th century. For example it was not mentioned in the comprehensive works of Targioni Tozzetti (1868) and Signoret (1877). The fact that *Saissetia* was not listed in the Signoret Catalogue (1877) is a clear indication that the Déplanche publication was unknown or not available to Signoret. Eudes-Deslongchamps’ (1859) report was perhaps overlooked because it was not published with a clear title but formed part of the report of a meeting.

7. Ancey (1888) proposed *Saissetia* as a replacement name for his genus *Platystoma* (1882; a junior homonym) in the Mollusca. Pilsbry (1894, p. 342) reported a note from T. D. A. Cockerell that *Saissetia* was preoccupied in Entomology, and therefore introduced *Platyrrhida* Pilsbry, 1894 as a replacement name for *Saissetia* Ancey.

8. Cockerell appears to be the first author to have reintroduced the name *Saissetia* into scale insect nomenclature. By including it in a key for genera related to *Lecanium* (Cockerell & Parrott, 1899) he established its interpretation as a genus in the Coccidae. Later (Cockerell, 1901) he regarded it as a valid genus, placed eight species in it and compared it with his newly described subgenera *Platysaissetia* and *Megasaissetia*.


10. Following Cockerell (1899, 1901), 20 species, the majority of which had been previously described or placed in *Lecanium*, were assigned to *Saissetia* in the Fernald Catalogue (1903). The type-species was given as ‘*coffeae* [Walker, 1852] = *hemisphaericum* [Targioni Tozzetti, 1868]’ while the genus was credited to Fauvel (1865). It should be pointed out that *S. coffeae* Déplanche was not mentioned in this Catalogue, not listed among the synonyms of *S. hemisphaerica*, nor among the ‘Species without descriptions or not recognisable’.

11. Ashmead (1891) introduced the genus *Bernardia* in the tribe Lecaniini of the Coccidae, but failed to assign to it any species. Subsequently, *Lecanium oleae* Bernard (correctly *Coccus oleae* Olivier, 1791, p. 95) was designated the type-species of *Bernardia* (see Marlatt, 1891, p. 150). Cockerell (1892) noted that the latter name was preoccupied in botany, and suggested the replacement name *Neobernardia*. If *Saissetia*
were excluded from the COCCIDAE *Bernardia* (which has never been used) might be resurrected as its junior subjective synonym, but I do not propose this.

12. De Lotto (1965, p. 219) presented, for the first time, clear indications that the original publication by Déplanche (1859) was neither seen by earlier workers, nor by himself, and that *Saissetia coffeae* Déplanche, 1859 must have been a mealybug (PSEUDOCOCCIDAE) and not a soft scale insect (COCCIDAE).

13. Morrison & Morrison’s (1966) reference to *Saissetia* basically concurred with the findings of De Lotto (1965), and clearly objected to any attempt to remove the name *Saissetia* from the COCCIDAE.

14. De Lotto (1970) examined the original publication by Déplanche (1859) and confirmed the availability of the names *Saissetia* Déplanche, 1859 and *S. coffeae* Déplanche, 1859. However, as stated in paras. 1–5 above, the original taxonomic position was different from that subsequently assumed.

15. Since 1899 to the present *Saissetia* has been widely accepted and extensively used as a genus in the COCCIDAE, but has never been applied to a mealybug (PSEUDOCOCCIDAE). This fact is well demonstrated in the following list of number of species: Fernald (1903) — world, 20; Dietz & Morrison (1916) — U.S.A. (Indiana), 2; Leonardi (1920) — Italy, 2; Balachowsky (1932) — Mediterranean, 2; Gomez-Menor (1937) — Spain, 3; Zimmerman (1948) — Hawaii, 3; Borchsenius (1957) — U.S.S.R., 3; De Lotto (1965) — Southern Africa, 12; Beardsley (1966) — Micronesia, 3; Hodgson (1969) — Zimbabwe, 12; Williams & Kosztarab (1972) — U.S.A. (Virginia), 3; Kawai (1980) — Japan, 3; Yang (1982) — China, 6; Ben-Dov (1971, 1985) — Israel, 4; Kozar & Walter (1985) — Palaearctic region, 6.

16. Based on the Fernald Catalogue (1903) and on the Zoological Records, I estimate that by 1986 some 50 species of soft scale insects had been described in or assigned to *Saissetia*.

17. Some species of *Saissetia* are pests of great economic importance, e.g. the Mediterranean black scale, *S. oleae* (Olivier) and the hemispherical scale, *S. coffeae* (Walker). These names have been, and are, used in all works dealing with the biology and control of the species (see Clausen, 1978).

18. As discussed above, the generic name *Saissetia* is widely used in publications on both systematics and applied studies of scale insects. It is always ascribed to Déplanche (1859), but placed in the COCCIDAE, with *Lecanium coffeae* Walker wrongly taken to be its nominal type species. I consider that stability would best be served by ratifying current practice, particularly since Déplanche’s species *coffeae*, although plainly different from Walker’s, cannot now be identified. Because the species evidently belong to different families I do not propose designating a specimen of *coffeae* Walker as neotype of *coffeae* Déplanche.

19. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:

(1) to use its plenary powers:

(a) to suppress the specific name *coffeae* Déplanche, 1859, as published in the binomen *Saissetia coffeae*, for the purposes of both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy;

(b) to set aside all previous designations of type species for the nominal genus *Saissetia* Déplanche, 1859, and to designate *Lecanium coffeae* Walker, 1852 as the type species;
(2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the name *Saissetia* Déplanche, 1859 (gender: feminine), type species by designation in (1)(b) above *Lecanium coffeae* Walker, 1852;
(3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the name *coffeae* Walker, 1852, as published in the binomen *Lecanium coffeae* (specific name of the type species of *Saissetia* Déplanche, 1859);
(4) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology the name *coffeae* Deplanche, 1859, as published in the binomen *Saissetia coffeae* and as suppressed in (1)(a) above.
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