which are within the reach of almost every one, and while confining himself pretty strictly to the description of strictly microscopic objects, contrives at the same time to furnish a tolerable general sketch of the animal kingdom.

Of the vertebrates, of course, Mr. Gosse cannot speak as microscopic objects, but he describes the structure of hairs, feathers, and scales, and the characters presented by the blood of these animals. Had he gone a little further and noticed the structure and mode of formation of bones and teeth, and of some of the more important soft parts, he might have made this section of his work far more instructive. In the treatment of the invertebrate animals, Mr. Gosse arranges his subject in accordance with the generally received classification, devoting a chapter or more to each of the great groups or classes (which he notices separately), and describing in a clear and pleasant style all those parts in the investigation of which the use of the microscope is necessary. Not unnaturally the Rotifera, the Sea-Anemones, and some other groups, upon which Mr. Gosse has worked with results well known to all zoologists, come in for a favourite's share of his attention: but on the whole he has behaved with a commendable impartiality; and the student who works through the course of study here laid down by Mr. Gosse, will rise from his labour with no contemptible amount of zoological knowledge. We may add that the book is adorned with a considerable number of good wood-engravings, and, although published by the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge for the express purpose of indicating the wonders of the Divine handiwork in the animal world, is not disfigured by any undue obtrusion of the natural-theological element, such as we are but too much accustomed to in many books with a similar purpose.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Note on Ablepharus pusillus. By W. T. BLANFORD.

IN the description of the above-named species in the 'Annals' for July last, p. 33 of the present volume, a serious error occurs, the number of transverse rows of ventral scales between the axils being stated to be 26 instead of 36. The latter number is correct; and as the only important difference between *A. pusillus* and *A. Brandti*, Strauch, consists in the number of scales between the axils, which are fifty in the last named form, whilst *Blepharosteres agilis* of Stoliczka (Proc. As. Soc. Bengal, 1872, p. 126) has forty to forty-five, and appears from the description to agree in every other character of importance with the other two species, there is every probability that these three races must be considered varieties of one species, which will bear Strauch's name *A. Brandti*. The type of the latter is from Turkestan; Dr. Stoliczka's species is from the Punjab; and *A. pusillus* was procured by me close to Basrah, commonly called Bussorah, the port of Mesopotamia on the estuary of the united Tigris and Euphrates; so this scinque has a wide range in Asia.

Ann. & Mag. N. Hist. Ser. 4. Vol. xiv.

33

The Winged Fruits of the Carboniferous Genus Cardiocarpus.

The genus *Cardiocarpus* was probably related to the modern Conifers of the *Welwitschia* type, as shown by the similarity of the fruit and also by the close relation of the leaves, if those called *Cordaite*s belong, as both Geinitz and Newberry have independently remarked, to *Cardiocarpus*. The *Welwitschia* is an embryonic form of Conifer, it producing no leaves except the cotyledonous; but, while probably unlike *Cordaite*s in its embryonic features, it shows what leaves and fruit are consistent with the type of Conifers.—*Dana's Manual of Geology*, New Edition, pp. 328, 330.

Remarks on the Fishes of the Algerian Sahara. By M. P. GERVAIS.

In the communications which have recently been made to the Academy on the subject of the possibility of establishing a sea in the Algerian Sahara, there have been urged, successively for or against that project, facts derived from geology, botany, and even zoology. In fact M. Cosson, calling in the aid of this last branch of natural history, has cited the *Coptodon Zillii*, described by me, as proving the continuity of the sheet of water under this region*.

This *Coptodon*, which M. Valenciennes has proposed to unite with *Glyphisodon*, a genus of marine fishes, although it differs therefrom in several characters, and especially in the non-ctenoid character of its scales, has received several other denominations. It is the *Perca Guyonii* of Heckel; and Dr. Günther has made it the type of a new genus under the name of *Haligenes Tristrami*; but it had been previously indicated under the name of *Bolti* from examples collected in other parts of Africa, principally in the Nile; and it is also the *Tilapia* of Andrew Smith, who had the opportunity of observing it in South Africa. It is known also in the Senegal and Mozambique, and everywhere lives in fresh water. We cannot say, therefore, like Dr. Tristram, that in Algeria it may be regarded as a last living vestige of the fauna which peopled the Saharian sea during the Tertiary epoch "before the elevation of the ground in Northern Africa poured into the Mediterranean the waters of that vanished ocean."

In my memoir on the fishes of Algeria† I brought forward the objection which the essentially fluviatile character of the Bolti enables us to oppose to this opinion, and indicated that this was also the case with the *Cyprinodon*, which is likewise ejected by the artesian waters of the Sahara under the same conditions; and I added that I did not think we ought any longer to accept the expression that has been sometimes employed with regard to this genus of fishes (namely, that they are derived from a sea stretching beneath the region in question), seeing that, wherever we know the *Cyprinodons*, they are, like the Bolti, exclusively proper to fluviatile or lacustrine waters, and, like it, are strangers to the sea. This is what we ascertain, whether we observe these fishes in Algeria or capture them in Portugal, Spain, Syria, Egypt, and even in America. Moreover the fossil *Cyprinodonts* which Agassiz names *Lebias* are like-

* See 'Comptes Rendus,' tome lxxix. p. 934.

† Zool. et Pal. gén. p. 200.

Blanford, W. T. 1874. "Note on Ablepharus pusillus." *The Annals and magazine of natural history; zoology, botany, and geology* 14, 461-462.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00222937408681011>.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/63340>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222937408681011>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/58782>

Holding Institution

University of Toronto - Gerstein Science Information Centre

Sponsored by

University of Toronto

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: NOT_IN_COPYRIGHT

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.