tractile, so that the movements of the region will affect these channels, especially as the stroma is lax. Towards the commencement of the lateral nerve-cords, the vascular trunks are found at their inner borders, and in some sections more than one trunk occurs on each side at the commencement. The hypoderm and basement-tissue of the snout are largely developed, the basement-tissue especially being massive in the œsophageal region, where it is more bulky than the circular muscular coat within it. So far as can be observed in sections of the anterior region of the proboscis, the nerves (elastic layer, M'I.) are at least 14 in number *. The cerebral organs are in front of the ganglia, and the gutpouches do not come forward to the brain, the succeeding region, as in A. lactiflorens, being occupied by the thickwalled esophageal section of the alimentary apparatus.

EXPLANATION OF THE PLATES.

PLATE II.

Fig. 1. Lateral view of the very young Phycis blennoides. Enlarged. Fig. 2. Ventral view of the foregoing. Similarly enlarged. The expansions of the ventral fins are indicated towards the tip.

The straight line indicates the natural size of the example.

Fig. 3. Restoration of the parts at the fork of the Cerebratulus from Naples, by Mr. R. C. Punnett, M.A. (Cantab.). ×15 diams. circ.m., circular muscular fibres; d.b.r., dorsal longitudinal vessel; i.l.m., inner longitudinal muscular fibres; i.n.c., inner nerve-cords; l.b.v., lateral blood-vessel; n.c., outer nerve-cords (ordinary); o.l.m., cuter longitudinal muscular layer; o.n.c., outer nerve-cord of bifid region; rh.c., proboscis-sheath.

PLATE III.

Fig. 1. Bifid Cerebratulus angulatus, sent by Dr. C. H. Williamson from Aberdeen Bay. The left side shows the ventral aspect with the mouth in front, whilst the bifid tail is viewed from the dorsum. About natural size.

Fig. 2. Bifid Cerebratulus "marginatus" from Naples. The figure (which is inverted) is copied from a water-colour by Mr. R. C. Punnett.

VIII.—On the Generic Arrangement of the Australian Ruts hitherto referred to Conilurus, with Remarks on the Structure and Evolution of their Molar Cusps. By OLDFIELD THOMAS.

In connection with the working out of some Australian Muridæ recently received by the British Museum through the generosity of Sir William Ingram and the Hon. John

* These were best seen in sections of the proboscis by Dr. Tosh.

Forrest, I have had occasion to examine the generic position of the little rat I described in 1889 * as "Mus" argurus, and, for comparison with that, of all the species hitherto referred

to the group of the Jerboa Rats-Conilurus.

Without much inquiry into their dental structure, all the Australian long-eared rats were placed by earlier writers in the genus "Hapalotis," which was founded by Lichtenstein on the species H. albipes. The name, however, being preoccupied, was rightly superseded by J. D. Ogilby, in 1892 †, in favour of that of Conilurus, W. Ogilby, founded on the same well-known species.

In 1898 Mr. Waite, of the Sydney Museum, observing the striking peculiarity of the feet of Conilurus longicaudatus and other allied species, separated off the jerboa-footed forms under the name of Podanomalus, while he gave to another jerboa-footed form, C. cervinus, the generic name of Thylacomys, which, being preoccupied, he afterwards altered to

Ascopharynx.

But in doing so Mr. Waite did not notice that Lesson had already given the name of Notomys to the "Dipus Mitchelli" of Ogilby, one of the jerboa-footed species, and therefore Podanomalus becomes a synonym of Notomys. Moreover, as cervinus agrees both in dental and pedal structure with Mitchelli and longicaudatus, I am not at present prepared to consider its possession of a gular pouch as a character of generic importance, and should therefore also place Ascopharynx as a synonym of Notomys.

But it has not been hitherto noticed that, besides the difference in foot-structure that separates Notomys from all the other jerboa rats, there are found in the group two quite different types of molar teeth, the differences being similar to those which separate Micromys from Mus, but even more

distinct and sharply defined.

For while, as shown in Mr. Waite's figures, the species of Notomys and both Conilurus apicalis and murinus have the same number of molar cusps as Mus, C. albipes, penicillatus, hirsutus, and certain other species have those described in my "Mus" argurus, i. e. three inner cusps to both m¹ and m², a postero-internal cusp being present which is absent in Mus. This type of tooth was figured in my paper on Mus argurus, and proves to be so constant throughout a number of species that it should clearly be considered as of generic importance. The resemblance of the teeth of Mus argurus to those of

^{*} Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (6) iii. p. 433 (1889). † Cat. Austr. Mamm. p. 113 (1892).

Conilurus hirsutus was noted when I described the former, but I did not then carry the investigations as far as I should have done.

By this character, then, Conilurus apicalis and murinus are at once separable from all the other rat-footed members of the group, and are brought into the neighbourhood of true Mus, to which, through M. lineolatus, they are very closely allied.

Indeed it might be thought that they should actually be referred to Mus; but as it is an advantage to separate from that protean genus as many species as possible, I would consider the long ears of these two species as indicating generic distinction (as has always hitherto been done), and would propose for them the special name of Leporillus.

Then among the species with three internal cusps to the molars I find two types of skull-structure. In one group, consisting of *Conilurus albipes* and *penicillatus*, the skull is highly modified in shape, as has been described by previous writers, while in the other, to which the species *hirsutus*, macrurus, pedunculatus, and argurus belong, it is very much as in ordinary rats. For this latter group I would suggest the name of Ammomys.

These conclusions may be tabulated as follows:-

I. NOTOMYS.

Type.

Notomys, Less. N. Tabl. R. A., Mamm.
p. 129 (1842)
toria, x. p. 117 (1898)
Thylacomys, Waite, t. c. p. 121 (1898) (nec Blyth, 1841)
Ascopharynx, Waite, Ann. & Mag. Nat.
Hist. (7) v. p. 223 (1900)

N. Mitchelli, Ogilb.

N. longicaudatus, Gould.

N. cervinus, Gould.

N. cervinus, Gould.

Teeth practically as in Mus; no postero-internal cusp on the molars, along the inner edge of whose series there are therefore only 6-7 cusps. Skull considerably modified; anterior edge of zygoma-root deeply concave. Hind feet lengthened, their pads reduced in number to three or four, usually three.

Species: N. Mitchelli, cervinus, longicaudatus, and Richardsoni.

II. LEPORILLUS.

Genus novum Type: C. apicalis, Gould.

Molars, as in Conilurus, without postero-internal cusps.

Skull very much as in Mus lineolatus. Hind feet normal, with the usual six pads.

Species: C. apicalis and murinus.

The gradation of this genus, from *C. apicalis*, through *C. murinus*, *Mus lineolatus*, and *Mus Higginsi*, into true *Mus*, affords an interesting study in evolution. Whether the *Mus lineolatus* group should not also be subgenerically separated from the ordinary species of *Mus* is a question which may have to be considered later.

III. AMMOMYS.

Genus novum Type: A. hirsutus, Gould.

Teeth as figured in Mus argurus; a distinct and well-defined postero-internal cusp on each molar, so that along the inner edge of the full molar series there are 8-9 cusps. Skull rat-like in general form, a well-developed coronoid process on the mandible. Hind feet normal, with six pads. Mammæ, so far as known, 0-2=4.

Species: A. hirsutus, macrurus, pedunculatus, and argurus.

IV. CONILURUS.

Type.

Teeth and foot-structure as in Ammomys. Skull highly modified, broad, flattened, the interorbital region concave, the palatal foramina enlarged, and the mandibular coronoid process and incisive capsule reduced or absent.

Species: C. albipes and penicillatus.

Of the other species described as members of this group, arboricola, Krefft, is a Mus rattus; personatus, Krefft, also probably belongs to Mus; and caudimaculata, Krefft, and papuanus, Ramsay, to Uromys.

With regard to the development and evolution of the additional postero-internal cusp on the molars, Dr. Winge, our greatest authority on the subject, when treating * of its presence in *Micromys*, has considered that it is a new development, the two original internal cusps (numbered by him on his general scheme "6" and "7") having been pushed forward

^{* &}quot;Græske Pattedyr," Vid. Medd. For. Copenhagen, 1881, p. 17 (under "Mus mystacinus"), and 1882, pl. iii. fig. 10.

to form the present antero-internal and median internal cusps. However this latter point may be-and even after having had the advantage of discussion with Mr. Knud Andersen over Dr. Winge's theories I still find it difficult to believe—I certainly think the postero-internal cusp cannot be explained as he supposes. He would call it 5 c, on the ground that it represents an off-splitting of the inner corner of the main central posterior cusp "5" of the tooth as found in typical Mus, and is therefore of very recent origin. But if we consider the distribution of the forms which possess it, scattered as they are about the Old World-Micromys in the Palæarctic region, "Mus" arborarius and rutilans in Africa, Crateromys and Lenomys in the Philippines and Celebes, Pogonomys and Hyomys in New Guinea, —it seems impossible to believe that these forms have all in widely separated localities independently developed exactly the same structure from a type, as I suppose, so advanced and certainly so dominant as Mus. It would rather appear natural to suppose that (even if a later growth as compared with the very primitive Cricetine series of Muridæ) it is an early development within the true Murinæ, occurring here and there in the group, and has then been reduced in some forms and lost in others, among which latter would be the dominant and highly developed genus Mus.

Dr. Winge has shown himself such a genius in disentangling the complicated homologies of molar cusps that it is with much diffidence that I put forward this modification of his views about this postero-internal cusp, which, for purposes of discussion and not to prejudge the question, might be called the x cusp. I would only recall that nearly all the forms in which it occurs have been either discovered or had their tooth-structure described since he wrote his work on the subject, and that he therefore had Micromys alone to examine, and was without the opportunity of considering the very important argument from distribution and occurrence in otherwise widely separated forms which I have now ventured to bring forward.

That many of the small additional cusps found in such multicuspid Muridæ as Leggada, Chiruromys, and others are recent supplementary additions, as Dr. Winge supposes, I would freely admit; but I do not think this to be the case with the interesting x cusp, which is in Australia the diagnostic mark of Ammomys and Conilurus.



Thomas, Oldfield. 1906. "On the generic arrangement of the Australian rats hitherto referred to Conilurus, with remarks on the structure and evolution of their molar cusps." *The Annals and magazine of natural history; zoology, botany, and geology* 17, 81–85.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/63426

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/59356

Holding Institution

University of Toronto - Gerstein Science Information Centre

Sponsored by

University of Toronto

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: NOT_IN_COPYRIGHT

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.