long series of examples. Species are separated, for instance, by the presence of several (six or seven) blunt bristles, or at least one, amongst many pointed bristles from those which possess no blunt bristle. If, however, they vary in this respect between six and one, there is no reason why they should not vary from six to none. So, too, with respect to colourdifferences and characters based on the relative length of protarsus i., in connexion at any rate with the distinction of J. geometricus from L. obscurior, new species. In numerous examples of L. geometricus from the Amazons, Table Mountain, Karachi, and Jansenville one finds every variation in coloration from pale whity grey to almost jet-black, while protarsus i. varies in length from three to four times longer than the tarsus. L. obscurior is distinguished from geometricus by the variation of protarsus i., three and a quarter to three and a half times the tarsus-that of the latter species being about four times the tarsus.

The variation of colour in L. mactans, too, ranges from the central band and lateral slashes being red (as in the typical North-American form) to almost jet-black, so that one hesitates to separate examples even as subspecies on the strength of slight variations in this respect-as, for instance, L. insularis, L. insularis insularis, and L. insularis lunulifer.
'The following are Dr. Dahl's new species and subspecies :L. obscurior, sp. n., Madagascar ; L. insularis, sp. n., Antilles; L. insularis insularis, subsp. n., St. Thomas; L. insularis lunulifer, subsp. n., Haiti ; L. sagittifer, sp. n., Porto Alegro ; L. ancorifer, sp. n., New Guinea ; L. Hahli, sp. n., Bismarck Archipelago; L. luzonicus, sp. n., Philippines; L. Stuhlmanni, sp. n., East Africa; L. renivulvatus, sp. n., German S.W. Atrica.
V.-Contributions from the New Mexico Biological Station. -XIII. On the Bees of the Family Nomadidæ of Ashmead. By T. I). A. Cockerell and Emerson Atkins.
The Nomadidæ of Ashmead (Trans. Am. Ent. Soc. xxvi. p. 64) are the parasitic bees with three submarginal cells. Ashmead himself says that they have "undoubtedly originated from other bees, through different lines of descent." He adds :-"It is evident, however, that most of them are descendants from various Anthophorid bees, since they agree more nearly with these bees in venation and the characters of the mouth-parts than with any of the others." If we admit
that a valid taxonomic group must consist of forms not less related to one another than to forms outside of the group, or, at least, that the group must have a common ancestor exhibiting the group-characters, then the family Nomadidæ, by the admission of its author, is not valid, or else must be restricted to a small portion of the genera now included in it. In other words, convergent evolution must be distinguished from blood-relationship *.

In our studies of these bees we have met with facts which convince us that they are descended from two or three entirely diverse groups, Nomada itself coming from the Panurginæ.

## Nomada, Scopoli, 1770.

Mr. E. Saunders (Journ. Linn. Soc., Zool. xxiii. p. 423) says:-"This genus forms a curious transition between the A ndrenidæ and Apidæ; it has many of the characteristics of the latter division, such as the long tongue, the acute paraglossæ, and the long basal joint of the labial palpi ; on the other hand, however, it has the joints of the labial palpi subcylindrical, like the Andrenidæ, without any tendency to the flat or concave sheath-like form of the Apidæ, and its species are also parasitic on the Andrenidæ (with the exception of N. sexfasciata, which frequents the burrows of Eucera). . . . 1 should feel inclined to treat it as a very abnormal genus of the Andrenidæ."

When we come to compare the mouth-parts of Nomada with those of the Panurginæ (which are themselves obviously derived from the Andrenidæ) all the difficulties mentioned by Saunders disappear. In the Panurgine mouth we find the long tongue, the long basal joint of the labial palpus, and paraglossæ of the same type as Nomada. Moreover, certain species of Nomada (e. g. N. similis) are parasitic on Panurgus.

Of all the Panurginæ Panurgus is most like Nomada, having the same slender tapering galea, the same long maxillary palpi, \&c. The greatest difference is in the paraglossæ, which in Nomada are much more slender; but another Panurgine bee, Dufourea vulgaris, has slender paraglossæ, as in Nomada, though it differs from Nomada in the palpi. Nomada, to be sure, has three submarginal cells in

[^0]the wings, whereas the Panurginæ have but two ; however, Nomada obliterata, Cresson, has constantly only two submarginals *, while a form of Dufourea (subg. Trilia, Vachal) has three. Andrena has usually three submarginals, but some species have only two.

As typical examples of Nomada we have studied N. fucata, Panz. (Mallorca, Balearic Is., from Friese), and N. xanthophila, Ckll. (New Mexico). In Panurgus we have used P. calcaratus and P. Banksianus.

Nomada modesta, Cresson (Las Vegas, N. M., collected by A. Garlick), differs from the other species by the very large and long galea (actually larger than in $N$. xanthophila, though the bee is smaller), shorter maxillary palpi (not much over half length of galea), very long paraglossæ, long tongue, and very long basal joint of labial palpi (much exceeding the other three joints together). In these characters (except the paraglossæ) N. modesta closely resembles Calliopsis verbence (also from Las Vegas, N. M.).

There is a singular resemblance in the mouth-parts between Nomada and Exomalopsis, but the bees are otherwise entirely different, and are evidently not related.

The following table gives the differential characters of the mouth-parts of several Panurgine bees, placing Nomada among them for purposes of comparison :-
A. Maxillary palpi far surpassing the galea $\dagger$.
a. First joint of labial palpi longer than the
other three together ; galea broad,
not bristly $\quad \cdots \cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. Halictoides dentiventris,
aa. First joint of labial palpi not longer [Nyl. than the other three together.
b. First joint of labial palpi about equal with second ; the first two joints very stout

Rhophitoides canus
bb. First joint of labial palpi conspicu-
[(Eversm.). ously longer than second.
c. Tongue very narrow, nearly parallelsided ; labial palpi long and slender ; galea very slender apically, with bristles along its whole hind

[^1]margin ; third joint of maxillary palpi about $\frac{2}{3}$ length of second..
cc. Tongue broad and sharply pointed
cc. Tongue broad and sharply pointed at tip.
d. Labial palpi stout, first joint very stout; third joint of maxillary palpi hardly half length of second
$d d$ Labial palpi rather slender; Parahalictoides Tinsleyi, [(Ckll.). third joint of maxillary palpi about $\frac{2}{3}$ length of second
AA. Maxillary palpi shorter than galea.
a. First joint of labial palpi much shorter than the other three together; maxillary palpi short and rather stout....
First joint of labial palpi about as long aa. First joint of labial palpi about as long as the other three together ; maxillary palpi long, often almost as long as galea.
b. Galea short and broad, sepia-brown, its hind margin only bristly on apical portion
Dufourea vulgaris, stout, third joint of maxillary Parahalictoides paradoxus
Hesperapis rhodocerata
[(Ckll.).
[(Moraw.).
$b b$. Galea narrow and curved apically, the apical portion mostly hyaline, its hind margin bristly throughout.
c. Paraglossæ linear
cc. Paraglossæ broad
aaa. First joint of labial palpi decidedly longer than the other three together.
b. Maxillary palpi about or little over half length of galea.
c. Paraglossæ long and linear; second joint of labial palpi bristly and longer than the last two joints together
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Paraglossæ shorter and shaped like a knife-blade; second joint of labial palpi short, no longer than third
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
bb. Maxillary palpi not very much shorter than galea. c. Galea with the apical portion much
elongated, very narrow, and c. Galea with the apical portion much
elongated, very narrow, and curved
cc. Paraglossæ shorter and shaped like
cc. Galea broad, the apical portion not or scarcely elongated.
d. First joint of labial palpi not nearly twice as long as the other three together; tongue short (about as in Dasypoda). . $d d$. First joint of labial palpi at least twice as long as the other three together ; tongue longer ; paraglossæ quite slender.

Nomada xanthophila, Ckll.
Panurgus Banksiamus

Nomada modesta (Cresson). Calliopsis verbena, C. \& P.
(Kirby).
Panurginus Boylei (Ckll.). 85

Nomada fucata, Panz.

Hypomacrotera semirufa
[(Ckll.).
$e$. First joint of labial palpi very
long
Calliopsis coloradensis,
$e e$. First joint of labial palpi some-
[Cresson.
what shorter ; tongue con-
siderably surpassing labial
palpi . ..................... Calliopsis chlorops, Ckll.

The Nomada figured by E. Saunders (l. c.) has the labial palpi of the type of $N$. xanthophila, but the galea, as figured, is more like that of Panurginus Boylei. Saunders does not state the species. The species with the N. xanthophila type of palpi may be regarded as typical Nomada.

Nomada modesta, with the characters indicated in the table, may be taken as the type of a new subgenus, Micronomada.

Calliopsis verbence, with its long galea not abruptly narrowed at apex and the extremely long basal joint of labial palpus, may form the type of a new subgenus, Verbenapis.

We believe that Phileremulus, Neolarra, and probably Allodape are related to Nomada, and are therefore also derived from Panurgine ancestors.

$$
\text { Epeolus, Latr., } 1802 .
$$

This genus is parasitic on Colletes, but cannot be derived therefrom. From all the genera discussed in connexion with Nomada it differs by its greatly reduced maxillary palpi, which have only two joints. Many species found in North America, formerly referred to Epeolus, have three-jointed maxillary palpi, and constitute the genus Triepeolus of Robertson (1901). Robertson thinks that Triepeolus is probably a parasite (or, rather, inquiline) of the Melissodinæ. Examining Triepeolus verbesince (Ckll.) as an example of the Epeolus type, we find that it has a broad blunt galea, with erect bristles at intervals on the outer margin, such as we find in several of the Nomada-Panurgine series. But the whole of the mouth-parts indicate the closest affinity with Phileremus. The characters which remove Epeolus and Phileremus from the Nomada-Panurgine series are the reduced maxillary palpi, and the broadened and flattened two basal joints of the labial palpi, with the two terminal joints diverging laterally, as in the ordinary long-tongued bees.

At this point we note that Calliopsis chlorops (a veritable Panurgine) has the first joint of the labial palpi broadened and flattened, while its paraglossæ are very like those of Epeolus. Furthermore, the series of Phileremulus \&c., while departing very much in many respects from Phileremus or the Panurginæ, nevertheless forms in some ways a connectinglink between these groups. Phileremulus is remarkable for
having a very long tongue, with entirely Panurgus-like labial palpi. Allodape, on the other hand, has the labial palpi wholly as in the ordinary long-tongued bees.

It would seem, then, that Epeolus and Phileremus, with their allies, have been derived from the Panurgine series, but from a higher type than Nomada. The resemblances we find between Epeolus and the Anthophorinæ may be explained by the probable fact that the Anthophorinæ themselves have a Panurgine ancestry, though they have now travelled far along their own special path.

## Melecta, Latr., 1802.

Dalla Torre gives this as the first genus of the Nomadinæ. It is parasitic upon Anthophora, as also is Crocisa. In Melecta miranda we find the maxillary palpi very small, fivejointed, the last joint minute ; the galea is very large, with a few short dark spines at the apex ; the tongue and the labial palpi are of the type usual in long-tongued bees. In Anthophora montana we find the third joint of the labial palpi attached laterally some distance before the end of the second, at about the middle of the terminal narrowed portion of the second joint. The outer side of the two first joints is covered with bristles, which form a brush at the end of the second. In Epeolus and Melecta the last two joints are attached at the end of the second, as also in Melissodes and Xenoglossa. In Epeolus, however, the second joint is not narrowed apically, whereas in Melecta miranda it is greatly narrowed, with the narrowed portion largely hyaline. The galea of Anthophora montana has the same general form as that of Melecta miranda, but is distinguished by numerous strong bristles scattered over its surface. Much more similar to that of Melecta, when one goes into details, is the galea of the series of Melissodes \&c. Thus in Xenoglossa pruinosa we find on the apical part of the galea a longitudinal series of bristles having tubular sockets, while the margin of the galea behind these bristles is abruptly narrowed and hyaline. The same row of bristles appears in the Melecta, but it is longer and the long hyaline area is not narrowed. In Melissodes pallidicincta the hyaline area is extremely small, but recognizable in comparison with the other genera.

From the above facts we must apparently conclude that Melecta is really derived from the Anthophorine series, but not from Anthophora itself.

## Ericrocis, Cresson, 1887.

We have examined Ericrocis lata, Cresson. Its evident affinity is with Centris, to which it is allied by the short paraglossæ and the vary peculiar form of the labial palpi and galea. The similarity of the galea even extends to the transverse brown spots, though the maxillary palpi in Ericrocis are reduced to two joints. Both Centris and Ericrocis are no doubt derived from Exomalopsis or a similar form.

## Thalestria, Smith, 1854.

We have studied Thalestria smaragdina, Sm., collected by Mr. H. H. Smith at Chapada, Brazil. The maxillary palpi are reduced to two joints. The galea is large and broad, with the series of bristles having tubular sockets, as seen in Xenoglossa, while the ill-defined hyaline area is narrowed, though not strongly. The labial palpi are extremely hairy on the inner side, and the third joint is placed at the lateral apex of the second. All this indicates the origin of Thalestria from the Anthophorine series, near to the place of origin of Melecta.

Thus the " Nomadidæ" are of composite origin and should be divided into groups, somewhat as follows:-

Nomadinæ, for Nomada and its allies, to follow the Panurgine.
Philereminæ, for Phileremus and Epeolus.
Melectinæ, for Melecta, Thalestria, \&c., to follow the groups of Xenoglossa \&c.
Ericrocinæ, for Ericrocis, to follow the group of Centris \&c.
The genus Bombomelecta falls in Melectinæ, and its affinity with Xenoglossa is further indicated by the very long paraglossæ, which, however, are devoid of hairs. The tip of the galea exhibits a number of flattened hairs, and exactly the same, but not so large, are found in Melissodes. The Bombomelecta studied is B. thoracica, var. fulvida, Cresson.

It is worth while to remark that the nest-building bees can be recognized by the comb of bristles on the basal part (stipes) of the maxilla. In the parasitic genera this is wholly wanting, the corresponding place presenting at most a series of fine short hairs.

All the slides used in this investigation were prepared by Mrs. W. P. Cockerell.

[^2]
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[^0]:    * In the famous paper by De Vries (cf. 'Science,' May 9, 1902, p. 726) new "species" are said to appear repeatedly among the offspring of Enothera or Onagra Lamarckiana (apparently it should be Onagra grandiftora); thus the "species" Enothera oblonga originated several hundred times independently. Of course the fact is that EE. Lamarckiana is polymorphic, and the various mutations have not yet reached the status of species.-T. D. A. C.

[^1]:    * Nomada obliterata may stand as the type of a subgenus Hemi-nomada.-T. D. A. C.
    $\dagger$ The maxillary palpi also surpass the galea in Spinoliella scitula (Cresson) and Hylcoosoma atriceps (Cresson), species formerly referred to Calliopsis. In the Spinoliella the first joint of the labial palpi is very stout and longer than the other three together; in the Hylcosoma it is scarcely as long as the other three together. In both the galea is broad and bristly at the apex.-T. D. A. C.

[^2]:    East Las Vegas, New Mexico, U.S.A., May 21, 1902.

