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# ON SOME OVERLOOKED SPECIES OF THE GENUS LIOLAEMUS WIEGMANN (REPTILIA TROPIDURIDAE) FROM PERU 

R. F. Laurent ${ }^{1}$

Abstract. Three new species of the genus Liolaemus, L. robustus, L. polystictus, and $L$. williamsi, from the upper western slopes of the Cordillera Central and of the eastern slopes of the Cordillera Occidental of Peru are described. They were previously confused with $L$. multiformis Cope, 1856, which is here synonymized with L. signifer (Duméril and Bibron, 1841) and seems to be restricted to the northern part of the Altiplano. The status of other northern species of Liolaemus is discussed.

## INTRODUCTION

The bewildering diversity of the genus Liolaemus Wiegmann has been well documented by L. Müller, W. Hellmich, R. DonosoBarros, and J. M. Cei for the southern part of its range in Chile and Argentina, more or less south of the 30th parallel. In contrast, this diversity has been largely neglected in the northern part of its range, in northwestern Argentina, Bolivia, and Peru. Many of the forms that occur in this region have been inadequately described, and a number of names have been placed in synonymy with little or no documentation. Thus, the discovery of three undescribed species from the upper western slopes of the Cor-

[^0]Table 1. Characters of the subgenera Liolaemus and Eulaemus.

|  | Liolaemus $\text { (395 ôઠ̂, } 356 \text { ̣̊) }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eulaemus } \\ (313 \text { ofo, } 313 \text { \&\& }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preanal pores | 0-7 | 3-12 |
|  | $\bar{x}=2.19$ | $\bar{x}=6.40$ |
|  | $<5$ in $91 \%$ of specimens, the series generally shorter than the 1 st toe ( $12.4 \%$ of exceptions) | $>4$ in $92 \%$ of specimens, the series generally longer than the 1 st toe ( $2.29 \%$ of exceptions) |
| Nostrils | lateral | latero-dorsal |
| Distance between upper border of subocular and lip compared with distance between nasal plates | inferior (3.37\% of exceptions) | superior ( $7.03 \%$ of exceptions) |
| Upper labials | generally flat and long, the 4th below eye, with posterior border oblique | generally high and short, 5th, 6th, or 7th below eye, with posterior border vertical |
| Range | Chile ( $\geq 50$ taxa) southern and western Argentina (18 taxa) | northern Chile ( 6 taxa) southern and western Argentina (25 taxa) |
|  | Bolivia (3 taxa) | Bolivia (6 taxa) |
|  | Peru (3 taxa) | Peru (9 taxa) |

dillera Central and the upper eastern slopes of the Cordillera Occidental of Peru necessitates an evaluation of the status of other taxa from the region, before the new forms can be adequately diagnosed.

Elsewhere (Laurent, 1983), I have pointed out that the great majority of Liolaemus species, including all that occur in the northern part of its range, can be referred to one or the other of two large groups: 1) a primarily Chilean group (subgenus Liolae$m u s$ ), and 2) a primarily Argentinian group (subgenus Eulaemus). Distinguishing characteristics of these two groups are provided in Table 1.

Two groups of Eulaemus may be recognized: 1) a fitzingeri group in which there is a patch of enlarged scales on the posterior surface of the thigh, and 2) a signifer group in which the patch of enlarged scales is lacking. The species allocated to the subgenus

Liolaemus and to the two subgroups of Eulaemus are listed in the Appendix.

Members of the Chilean group (subgenus Liolaemus) are few in the northern part of the range of Liolaemus. Liolaemus tacnae (Shreve), originally described in the genus Stenocercus, is apparently a local species from the department of Tacna in southern Peru. Liolaemus alticolor Barbour and L. walkeri Shreve are names that have been applied to a large set of Andean populations from Peru southward to Catamarca Province, Argentina. The form walkeri was considered by Hellmich (1961) and Donoso-Barros (1966) to be a subspecies of alticolor. It is uncertain whether this form represents a valid species or subspecies. In fact, several taxa may be represented by specimens now referred to walkeri.

The majority of northern Liolaemus, including the three new species described below, are members of the Argentinian group (subgenus Eulaemus). A number of Koslowsky's names have been revived (Laurent, 1982a) for members of this group, and new species have been recently described (Laurent, 1982a, 1984, 1985, 1986), but there still are problems with certain other names in the group.

Liolaemus ornatus Koslowsky, 1898, is an abundant species of the fitzingeri group that occurs from low to high altitudes from Catamarca Province, western Argentina, northward to the Lake Titicaca region in southern Peru and northern Bolivia. Pellegrin (1909) described Liolaemus pulcher and L. mocquardi from Tiahuanaco, Depto. de La Paz, Bolivia. Examination of the syntypes reveals that those of $L$. pulcher are males and those of L. mocquardi are females of the same form. Peters and Donoso-Barros (1970) correctly placed $L$. pulcher in the synonymy of $L$. ornatus, thus $L$. mocquardi may now be added to that synonymy.

Liolaemus simonsi Boulenger, 1902, based on specimens from Potosi, Challapata, and Uyuni, Bolivia, was considered to be a subspecies of multiformis by Burt and Burt (1931), an allocation followed by Peters and Donoso-Barros (1970). The syntypes of Liolaemus simonsi (BM 1902.5.29.74-79 [RR 1946.8.12.20-23], 1902.5.29.85-87 [RR 1946.8.12.24-26]), kindly lent by Dr. C. MacCarthy, possess a patch of enlarged scales on the posterior aspect of the thigh, a fact not mentioned in the type description, but which excludes simonsii from the synonymy of multiformis.

However, a comparison of the syntypes with Bolivian specimens of the widespread Liolaemus ornatus confirm the synonymy of simonsi with ornatus.

The remaining northern species of Liolaemus, including the three new forms described below, are members of the signifer group of Eulaemus. Liolaemus dorbignyi Koslowsky, 1898, from Catamarca Province in western Argentina and L. jamesi Boulenger, 1891, from west of the Andes in Tarapacá Province, northern Chile, are large-scaled members of the signifer group, similar to one another in scalation and proportions, and may represent vicariant forms on opposite sides of the Andes, an hypothesis to be investigated in a later paper.

Liolaemus signifer (Duméril and Bibron, 1841) is one of the two oldest names available for members of the Argentinian group, the other is L. fitzingerii. The type locality of $L$. signifer was given as "Chile," but recently Cei, Lescure, and Ortiz (1980) have mapped the route taken by d'Orbigny, its collector, in Chile, Peru, and Bolivia, and restricted the type locality of signifer to the highlands of Peru and Bolivia. For the most part, the route taken by d'Orbigny passed through the range of the species that most subsequent authors refer to as Liolaemus multiformis Cope with a very short stretch passing through the range of Liolaemus annectens Boulenger, 1901, in Arequipa Province, Peru. There are significant statistical differences between these two forms, but the presence of a zone of intergradation indicates that a single species with two geographic races is involved. When the holotype of $L$. signifer (MNH Paris 6890) is compared with the two races, it falls always with the population of the intergrade zone or with multiformis, never with annectens. It is on this basis that Liolaemus multiformis Cope, 1856, is here considered to be a synonym of L. signifer (Duméril and Bibron, 1841).
L. multiformis was based on a series of specimens (Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 13064-6, 13098, 13104, 13168-70) from Lake Titicaca, Peru. A number of forms described subsequently have been placed in its synonymy, some correctly, but some apparently not. I have examined all of the relevant type material and consider the following synonymies to be correct: lenzi Boettger, 1891 (fide Burt and Burt, 1931), type locality "Bolivianische Ufer des Ti-ticaca-Sees"; tropidonotus Boulenger, 1901 (fide Burt and Burt,
1931), type locality "Tirapata, E. Peru, 13,000 feet"; bolivianus Pellegrin, 1909 (fide Hellmich, 1962), type locality "Tiahuanaco, Depto. de La Paz, Bolivia"; variabilis crequii Pellegrin, 1909 (fide Hellmich, 1962), type locality "Tiahuanaco, Depto. de La Paz, Bolivia"; variabilis courtyi Pellegrin, 1909 (fide Hellmich, 1962), type locality "Tiahuanaco, Depto. de La Paz, Bolivia"; variabilis neveui Pellegrin, 1909 (fide Hellmich, 1962), type locality "Tiahuanaco, Depto. de La Paz, Bolivia."

Since multiformis has been shown to be a synonym of signifer, all of the above forms are properly referred to the synonymy of the latter. In addition, L. pantherinus Pellegrin, 1909 (syntypes MNH Paris 05-344-05-345), for which no locality was given, also cannot be distinguished from L. signifer.

Two forms that have been synonymized with Liolaemus multiformis appear to be valid: L. annectens Boulenger, 1901 (synonymized by Hellmich, 1962), type locality "Caylloma and Sumbay, 11,300 to 13,600 feet," and L. annectens orientalis Müller, 1923 (synonymized with multiformis simonsi Boulenger, 1902, by Burt and Burt, 1931), type locality "Oberer Pilcomayo, zwischen Tarija and S. Francisco, Bolivien." As pointed out above, Liolaemus annectens is probably a geographic race of Liolaemus signifer. Evidence for the validity of orientalis will be presented at another time.

As indicated earlier, the signifer group of Eulaemus is defined by the absence of a patch of enlarged postfemoral scales, while the fitzingerii group is defined by their presence. A patch of enlarged postfemoral scales is unique within tropidurine iguanids and is almost certainly derived. However, if a patch of enlarged postfemoral scales was derived only once within Liolaemus, then the fitzingerii group is paraphyletic, because the patch is also found in species excluded from the group and placed in the subgenus Ortholaemus (Laurent, 1984), i.e., wiegmanni, cranwelli, multimaculatus, scapularis, salinicola, occipitalis, lutzae. Since the signifer group, at present, is defined only by the absence of these enlarged scales, this subgroup also may be paraphyletic. Although the question of their monophyly cannot be resolved at this time, the signifer and fitzingerii groups provide a useful means for the diagnoses and identification of the new forms described below.


Plate I. Liolaemus robustus, sp. nov. Paratype male: MCZ 45811. Depto. Junin, Peru. 1. Dorsal view of head. 2. Ventral view of head. 3. Dorsal view. 4. Ventral view.


Plate II. 1. Liolaemus polystictus, sp. nov. Holotype male: MCZ 45845. Side view of head. 2. Liolaemus polystictus, sp. nov. Paratype female: MCZ 45849. Dorsal view. 3. Liolaemus robustus, sp. nov. Paratype female: MCZ 45811. Side view of head.


Figure 1. Scatter-diagram of minimum width of frontal region (ordinates) and length of 5 dorsal scales (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus signifer, $\mathrm{O}=$ L. polystictus, sp. nov. $\bullet=$ L. robustus, sp. nov. $\mathrm{S}=$ Type of $L$. signifer. $\otimes=$ Lectotype of $L$. multiformis.

Liolaemus robustus, new species
(Pl. I, Figs. 1-4; Pl. II, Fig. 3)
Holotype. One male (FMNH 34242/H) from Junin, Depto. Junin, collected by K. P. Schmidt.

Paratypes. PERU: Depto. Junin: Same data as holotype: FMNH 34242/1-23, 34247, 11 males, 4 females, 9 juveniles. Huayre, N of Junin: FMNH 34253, 2 males, 1 female, 4 juveniles. Huawhay (=Huayre ?): UMMZ 89484, 1 male. Ondores on Lake Junin: MCZ 157226, 1 male. "Dept. of Junin" only: MCZ 45809-12, 16155-56, 1 male, 5 females, W. F. Walker, 14 April 1939. Depto. Lima: Yauricocha: MCZ 45830, 1 male.

Diagnosis. A species of the Liolaemus signifer group, differing from $L$. signifer by the lower number of scales around the body (47-61, instead of 66-82), the frontal azygous, generally divided in two parts, anterior and posterior, instead of divided into many scales (at least 3 , generally $5-8$, and even more), by a narrower frontal zone, bigger head, more robust general proportions, and by its characteristic color pattern with black spots or dots.

Other differences are: 1) 44-59 scales (rather than 65-87) between occiput and level of the front border of the thighs; 2) 1219 dorsal scales (instead of 18-30) in head length; 3) 63-78 ventral scales (instead of 74-92) between postmentals and vent; 4) 4970 lateral scales between the legs (instead of 65-89); 5) minimum width of frontal region 13-25\% (rather than 25-49\%) of the length of 5 dorsal scales (see Fig. 1).

Description of the Holotype. Head length (from posterior edge of ear opening) ( $\mathrm{HL}=20.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) $26.8 \%$ of snout-vent length $(\mathrm{SVL}=77 \mathrm{~mm})$. Two vertical antehumeral folds and a longitudinal oblique and sinuous fold on the side of the neck, bifurcated behind the ear on the right side. Scales on the upper side of head markedly convex, a count of 15 on the midline. Rostral scale about 2.5 times as wide $(\mathrm{W}=4.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ as high $(\mathrm{H}=1.63 \mathrm{~mm})$. Nasal triangular, separated from rostral, surrounded by 7 scales. Nostril round, in the posterior part of the nasal, a little nearer to the point of the snout ( 2.94 mm ) than to the posterior extremity of the canthal ( 3.40 mm ). Internasals 2 anterior +2 azygous + 2 posterior. Ear opening somewhat oblique, nearly rectangular, surrounded by granular scales that are smaller behind than in front of the ear. Temporals convex, 7 between the postsubocular and the ear. Interparietal small, pentagonal, surrounded by 5 scales, the anteriormost median. Frontal region occupied by 2 azygous scales. Five supraoculars, 7 supraciliaries, the 5 th below the 4 th and 6 th. Five scales between the rostral and frontal region. Semicircles simple, 4 scales between the frontal region and the supraciliaries.

In prefrontal zone, between the posterior internasals and the frontal region, 11 scales, 3 intercanthal scales. Across the snout, 11 scales between the labials at the postnasal level, 11 also at the canthal level. Four scales between nasal and subocular. Subocular divided in two. Paralabials 8,4 in contact with subocular. Supralabials 8 . Infralabials $5-6$, followed by $9-7$ granules as far as the corner of the mouth. Mental fan-shaped, in contact with 4 scales.

Lateral scales of neck granular, 41 between ear opening and forelimb. About 30 scales between ear openings. Fifty-five scales around the body. Fifty-four between occiput and level of anterior border of thigh. Dorsal scales juxtaposed or imbricate on the sides,
very faintly keeled or smooth, 14 in head length. Flank scales smaller, erect, granular at armpit and groin, about 61 between legs. Ventrals smooth and imbricate, 68 between mental and preanal pores. Caudal scales similar to body scales, 22 in 15 th verticil. No patch of enlarged scales behind the thigh. Fourteen to fifteen infradigital lamellae beneath 4th finger, 19 beneath 4th toe. Tail $(83 \mathrm{~mm}) 107.8 \%$ of snout-vent length.

Color (in Alcohol). Above, olivaceous gray, with some scales blackish, these often clustered in small groups, which give a more or less punctate appearance. Belly whitish with grayish pigmentation on most scales; throat with gray dots, without definite pattern.

Variation (see Table 2). Upper labials generally 8 (38 sides), sometimes 9 ( 16 sides) or 7 ( 9 sides), rarely 10 ( 5 sides) or 11 (2 sides), the first 5 to 6 without small scales below and inside. Lower labials generally 6 ( 46 sides), sometimes 5 ( 14 sides), rarely 7 ( 6 sides), 8 ( 3 sides), or 4 ( 1 side). Supraoculars generally 4 ( 34 sides) or 5 ( 25 sides), rarely 6 ( 7 sides) or 3 ( 8 sides). Supraciliaries usually 7 , the 5 th below the 4 th and 6 th, but 6 on 6 sides and 8 on 9 sides. Temporals between the postsubocular and the ear most often 8 ( 31 sides), not infrequently 7 ( 24 sides), sometimes 9 ( 11 sides), exceptionally 6 ( 4 sides). Plates between the rostral and the frontal normally 5 ( 43 sides), sometimes 6 ( 20 sides), rarely 7 ( 3 sides) or 4 ( 2 sides). Scales between the frontal and the supraciliaries 4 ( 46 sides), sometimes 3 ( 19 sides), rarely 2 ( 3 sides) or 5 ( 2 sides). Scales around the interparietal usually 5 (11 cases) or 6 ( 14 cases), sometimes 7 ( 7 cases), rarely 8 ( 3 cases), symmetrical (14 cases) or irregular ( 21 cases). Scales in contact with the nasal generally 7 ( 35 sides), sometimes 6 ( 19 sides) or 8 ( 11 sides), rarely 9 ( 2 sides) or 5 ( 1 side), rarely adjacent to the rostral ( 2 sides). Paralabials usually 8 ( 28 sides), often 7 ( 22 sides), sometimes 9 ( 13 sides), rarely 6 ( 4 sides) or 10 ( 1 side). Paralabials in contact with subocular generally 4 ( 41 sides), sometimes 5 (18 sides), rarely 3 ( 7 sides), exceptionally 2 or 6 ( 1 side each). Plates between the upper labials over the snout posterior to the nasals 7 to 12 (mean $=9.97$ ), at canthal level 9 to 14 (mean $=11.60$ ). Usually 4 scales between nasal and subocular. Preanal pores in males 3 ( 2 cases), 4 ( 8 cases), 5 ( 6 cases), or 6 ( 3 cases). A single female has one vestigial pore. Almost always, the frontal is di-

Table 2. Meristic characters of Liolaemus robustus.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢0\% | ¢¢ |
| Scales around midbody | $48-61(\bar{x}=53.05)$ | 50-60 ( $\bar{x}=54.43$ ) |
| Dorsal scales between occiput and levels of anterior border of thighs | 44-59 ( $\bar{x}=52.28$ ) | $51-56(\bar{x}=53.14)$ |
| Ventral scales between postmentals and vent | $63-74(\bar{x}=68.59)$ | 72-78 ( $\bar{x}=74.14$ ) |
| Lateral scales between anterior and posterior limbs | 49-68 ( $\bar{x}=58.40$ ) | 56-68 ( $\bar{x}=62.14$ ) |
| Scales in the 15 th verticil of tail | $18-22(\bar{x}=20.28)$ | 19-23 ( $\bar{x}=20.86$ ) |
| Gular scales between ears | 29-35 ( $\bar{x}=32.04$ ) | 28-32 ( $\bar{x}=30$ ) |
| Hellmich's index | 14-17 ( $\bar{x}=15.40$ ) | 13-15 ( $\bar{x}=14$ ) |
| Lamellae under 4th finger | 14-17 $(\bar{x}=15.63)$ | 14-16 ( $\bar{x}=15$ ) |
| Lamellae under 4th toe | $18-21(\bar{x}=19.78)$ | 19-21 ( $\bar{x}=19.57$ ) |

vided into two plates, one anterior and one posterior. In only three cases are there 3 plates with 2 anterior, one posterior. In one case there are 3 plates in a longitudinal series, in another the anterior plate is asymmetrically located on the left. In three specimens there is a single undivided frontal.

The dorsal coloration does not appear very variable in preserved material. The black dots or spots may be more or less distinct. They have a tendency to concentrate in two laterodorsal zones in some specimens. The ventral pigmentation may be almost absent, uniformly distributed or scattered into ill-defined spots. Intact tails vary from 106 to $123 \%$ of snout-vent length in males $($ mean $=111.47)$, from 109 to $126 \%($ mean $=117.73)$ in females.

Size. Snout-vent length of the largest male (from Yauricocha) 85 mm , of the largest female 82 mm .

Geographic Variation. One specimen (MCZ 45830) from Yauricocha, Lima Department, is somewhat different from the other specimens from Junin Department. The belly and throat are black with white dots; the frontal is divided in three; there are 5 scales between the frontal and the supraciliaries, 22 lamellae beneath the 4 th toe. The supraciliaries are only 5 , the 3 rd below the 2 nd and 4th. The last may be an anomaly, since the formula 7 (5) is

Table 3. Comparative variation of meristic and morphometric characters in Liolaemus robustus, sp. nov., L. polystictus, sp. nov., L. williamsi, sp. nov., and L. Signifer (Duméril and Bibron).

|  | robustus $(\mathrm{N}=15)$ | polystictus $(\mathrm{N}=17)$ | williamsi $(\mathrm{N}=15)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { signifer } \\ (=\text { multiformis }) \\ (\mathrm{N}=32) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frontal divided into plates | $\begin{gathered} 2(1+1) \\ \text { rarely } 3 \\ (2+1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { to } 5(2+ \\ 1+2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { to } 5(2+ \\ 1+2 \text { or } \\ 2+2+ \end{gathered}$ <br> 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3(1+2) \text { to } \\ & 9(3+2+ \\ & 2+2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scales around midbody | 48-61 | 57-70 | 54-67 | 66-82 |
| Dorsal scales between occiput and level of front borders of thighs | 44-59 | 55-70 | 48-65 | 65-87 |
| Ventral scales between postmentals and vent | $63-78$ | 62-71 | 67-78 | 74-92 |
| Lateral scales between legs | 49-68 | 54-76 | 66-77 | 65-89 |
| Hellmich's index | 12-17 | 14-21 | 17-22 | 18-30 |
| Minimum width of frontal region as $\%$ of length of 5 dorsal scales | $\begin{gathered} 13-23 \\ (\bar{x}=16.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24-38 \\ & (\bar{x}=31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18-29 \\ (\bar{x}=23.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25-49 \\ (\bar{x}=35.72) \end{gathered}$ |
| Width of head in $\%$ of snout-vent length | $\begin{gathered} 19.6-24.6 \\ (\bar{x}=21.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.70-23.7 \\ & (\bar{x}=21.25) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3-22.3 \\ & (\bar{x}=19.98) \end{aligned}$ |
| Distance between posterior borders of eyes in \% of head height |  | $\begin{gathered} 85.2-110.1 \\ (\bar{x}=95.49) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.9-107.6 \\ & (\bar{x}=84.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Length of 4th toe nail in \% of width of 5 ventral scales ( $\begin{gathered}\text { ô }\end{gathered}$ only) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20.5-38.6 \\ (\bar{x}=30.02) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31.6-50 \\ & (\bar{x}=40.81) \end{aligned}$ |
| Distance between the pubic symphysis and the vent as \% of eareye distance ( $\ddagger$ \& only) |  | $\begin{gathered} 80-124 \\ (\bar{x}=98.84) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 62-99 \\ (\bar{x}=78.65) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 3. Continued.

|  | robustus $(\mathrm{N}=15)$ | polystictus $(\mathrm{N}=17)$ | williamsi $(\mathrm{N}=15)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { signifer } \\ & (=\text { multiformis }) \\ & (\mathrm{N}=32) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum distance between nasals in \% of minimum distance between supraocular scales | $\begin{gathered} 90-142 \\ (\bar{x}= \\ 113.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56-87 \\ (\bar{x}=70.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65-139 \\ (\bar{x}=95.7) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rostral height in \% of eye-lip distance | $\begin{gathered} 43-65 . \\ (\bar{x}=50.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-74 \\ (\bar{x}=60.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48-62 \\ (\bar{x}=55.85) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Length of 5 dorsal scales in \% of ear-eye distance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 67-104 \\ (\bar{x}=79.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29-71 \\ (\bar{x}=49.58) \end{gathered}$ |
| Eye-lip distance in \% of subocular length | $\begin{gathered} 47-70 \\ (\bar{x}=54.83) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 37-58 \\ (\bar{x}=49.05) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Length of 1 st finger (without claw) in $\%$ of length of 5 dorsal scales |  | $\begin{gathered} 51-83 \\ (\bar{x}=65.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40-65 \\ (\bar{x}=54.14) \end{gathered}$ |  |

the norm for the entire genus Liolaemus, but the other features might characterize a valid subspecies if confirmed for a majority of the specimens from the region.

Relationships. All of these specimens had been identified as $L$. multiformis (Cope). However, they are clearly different from the syntypes of the species and series collected around Lake Titicaca. The most obvious differences are indicated in Table 3 and Figure 1 .

There is also a large and clear-cut morphometric difference: the width of the frontal region at its narrowest point is less than $25 \%$ of the length of 5 dorsal scales (lowest value 13\%) in robustus; in signifer the same measurement is more than $25 \%$ (highest value $48.9 \%$ ). The name of the species has been inspired by its robust appearance. While it is expected to be most significant in this respect, the width of head/snout-vent length ratio is not diagnostic at all: 18.31 to $24.57 \%($ mean $=21.73 \%$ ) in robustus versus 18.78 to $22 \%$ (mean $=20.54 \%$ ) in signifer (see Fig. 2).

L. robustus, as well as the two new species described below, is not compared here with other Eulaemus species. Other papers, which will remedy this lack, are in preparation. They include descriptions of other new species, one from Peru, one from northern Chile, and 5 from northwestern Argentina, and the last of the series is intended to provide a key to all Eulaemus without enlarged femoral scales.

The sexual dimorphism of $L$. robustus is not as conspicuous as that of $L$. signifer. The size difference is less marked, and the color pattern is about the same in both sexes, at least in alcohol. However, the colors in life are probably brighter in males than in females.

> Liolaemus polystictus, new species (Pl. III, Figs. 1-4; Pl. II, Figs. 1-2)

Holotype. One male (MCZ 45845) from Santa Inez ( $13^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $75^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ), about 100 km S of Huancavelica, Depto. Huancavelica, Peru, W. F. Walker Sr., collected February 1939.

Paratypes. PERU: Depto. Huancavelica: Same data as holotype: 2 males MCZ 45844, 45846, 3 females MCZ 45847-49, 2 juveniles MCZ 161157-58, 1 male, 1 female UMMZ 89482. Same locality and collector: MCZ 43782, collected 14 December 1936. Huancavelica: 5 males, 4 females, 2 juveniles FNHM 81453-63, no collector, no date. Six km SW Castrovirreyna, 3,650 m, KU 163563, W. E. Duellman, collected 24 February 1975.

Diagnosis. A species of the Liolaemus signifer group, differing from all other members of this group by the male color pattern, in which each dorsal scale is bicolor, pigmented at the base, clear behind, giving a striking appearance of fine punctation, and by having a greater sexual dimorphism in size.

It can be distinguished from $L$. signifer by the following differences: 1) $62-75$ ventral scales instead of 74-92 between postmentals and vent; 2) 57-70 scales instead of 66-82 around midbody; 3) 55-70 dorsal scales instead of 65-87 between occiput and level of front borders of thighs; 4) some morphometric differences only noticeable on scatter-diagrams because of allometry (Figs. 3-5).
L. polystictus differs from L. robustus in the following characters: 1) minimum width of frontal region $24-38 \%$ of length of 5


Plate III. Liolaemus polystictus, sp. nov. Holotype male: MCZ 45845. Huancavelica, Peru. 1. Dorsal view of head. 2. Ventral view of head. 3. Dorsal view. 4. Ventral view.


Figure 3. Scatter-diagram of distance between posterior eye borders (ordinates) and head height (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$ Liolaemus polystictus, sp. nov. $\times=$ L. signifer. $\mathrm{S}=$ Type of $L$. signifer.
dorsal scales instead of 13-23\% (see Fig. 1); 2) minimum distance between nasals $56-87 \%$ of minimum distance between supraocular scales instead of $90-142 \%$; 3) 57-70 scales around midbody instead of 47-61; 4) 55-70 dorsal scales instead of 44-59 between occiput and level of front borders of thighs.


Figure 4. Scatter-diagram of length of claw of 4th toe (ordinates) and width of 5 ventral scales (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus signifer. $\bullet=$ L. polystictus, sp. nov. Males only.


Figure 5. Scatter-diagram of distance between armpit and groin (ordinates) and ear-eye distance (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus signifer. $=$ L. polystictus, sp. nov. Females only.

Description of the Holotype. Head length (from posterior rim of ear opening) $(\mathrm{HL}=22 \mathrm{~mm}) 28.9 \%$ of snout-vent length (SVL $=76 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Vertical lateral folds of the neck overshadowed by a horizontal fold, which is sinuous and bifurcated just behind the ear. Scales on upper surface of head strongly convex, 16 on the midline. Temporals convex, some slightly keeled, keels higher behind than in front, 8-9 between the postsubocular and the ear. Frontal region occupied by two azygous scales, their front and rear borders oblique, five supraoculars. Rostral plate 2.76 times wider $(\mathrm{WR}=4 \mathrm{~mm})$ than high $(\mathrm{HR}=1.45 \mathrm{~mm})$. Nasal triangular, separated from rostral, surrounded by 8 scales, nostril in the posterior part of nasal, nearer to point of snout ( $\mathrm{NS}=2.95 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) than to hind border of canthal scale $(\mathrm{NC}=3.7 \mathrm{~mm})$. Internasals: 4 anterior +4 posterior, all irregular. Ear opening oval and oblique, surrounded by granular scales, smaller behind than in front of the ear. Eight to seven supraciliaries, the 5th lateral to the others on the left, the 4 th and 5 th on the right. Six scales between the rostral and the frontal region. Five scales between the frontal region and the supraciliaries.

The prefrontal zone between the posterior internasals and the frontal region contains 13 scales, rather irregularly arranged. Five intercanthal scales. Across the snout between the supralabials 12 scales behind the postnasal level, 14 at the canthal level. Four scales between the nasal and the subocular. Paralabials 9-7, 5-3 in contact with subocular.

Table 4. Meristic characters in Liolaemus polystictus, sp. nov. ( 9 of JUVENILES NOT INCLUDED).

|  | $\chi_{0}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | \%9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scales around midbody | $57-70(\bar{x}=61.34)$ | $63-68(\bar{x}=64.87)$ |
| Dorsal scales between occiput and level of anterior border of thigh | 55-63 ( $\bar{x}=59.22$ ) | $57-70(\bar{x}=62.62)$ |
| Ventral scales between postmentals and vent | 62-67 ( $\bar{x}=64.67$ ) | 64-75 ( $\bar{x}=68.12$ ) |
| Lateral scales between legs | 54-70 $(\bar{x}=62.78)$ | 53-76 ( $\bar{x}=63.75$ ) |
| Scales in 15th verticil of tail | $17-20(\bar{x}=19.40)$ | 19-22 ( $\bar{x}=20.87$ ) |
| Gular scales between ears | 27-32 $(\bar{x}=29.56)$ | 28-32 ( $\bar{x}=29.88$ ) |
| Hellmich's index | $16-21(\bar{x}=17.78)$ | 14-21 $(\bar{x}=18.38)$ |
| Lamellae under 4th finger | $17-18(\bar{x}=17.67)$ | 15-19 ( $\bar{x}=16.25)$ |
| Lamellae under 4th toe | 20-24 ( $\bar{x}=21.56$ ) | 19-22 ( $\bar{x}=20.5$ ) |

Nine supralabials on the left side, 8 on the right side. Seven to six infralabials, followed by 6-7 granules as far as the corner of the mouth. Mental fan-shaped, in contact with 4 scales.

Lateral scales of the neck granular, 41 between ear opening and front leg, 32 scales between ear openings, 63 scales around the body, 61 between occiput and level of front border of thighs. Dorsal scales imbricate and keeled. Lateral scales smaller, erect and granular, smaller still at armpit and groin, 58 between legs. Ventral scales smooth and imbricate, 63 between mental and preanal pores. Five preanal pores. Caudal scales similar to body scales, 20 in 15 th verticil of tail. Seventeen subdigital lamellae beneath 4th finger, 22 beneath 4th toe.

Color (in Alcohol). Above, blackish with a very dense and fine punctation resulting from the fact that each dorsal scale is pigmented at the base, unpigmented at the tip. Below, the belly is light, with black pigmentation on the borders of the scales, which gives a reticulate appearance especially marked on the throat. On the lateral scales, the pigmented and unpigmented areas are about equal, so that the general effect is checkered. Upper surface of head blackish.

The color in life is unknown, but it is surmised that the unpigmented parts are actually vividly colored (white, yellow, orange, red, green, or blue).

Variation (see Table 4). Upper labials generally 9 ( 15 sides), sometimes 8 ( 6 sides) or 10 ( 5 sides), rarely 6-7 ( 1 side each) or 11 ( 4 sides), the first 5 to 8 without small scales below and inside. Lower labials generally 5 ( 14 sides) or 6 ( 12 sides), sometimes 7 ( 5 sides), rarely 4 ( 1 side). Supraoculars generally 5 ( 15 sides), sometimes 4 ( 8 sides), rarely 3 ( 3 sides), 6 ( 5 sides) or 7 ( 1 side). In one individual, only one plate on the left and two on the right are enlarged enough to be termed supraoculars. Supraciliaries as usual 7 , the 5 th below the 4 th and the 6th, at least in females, except in one case where the number is 6 , but anomalies are surprisingly common in males, where 6 is actually the most frequent number ( 9 sides), while 7 is less common ( 5 sides), 5 ( 2 sides) and 8 ( 1 side) are rare; the lower supraciliary, which is generally the 5 th, is the 4 th on 4 sides ( 3 in males). Three males each show another anomaly on one side: 1) the 5 th supraciliary is in front of the 6th, not below; 2) the 3rd supraciliary is also below the 2 nd and the 4 th; 3 ) the 4 th and the 5 th supraciliaries are both overhung by the 3 rd and the 6 th. Temporals between the postsubocular and the ear usually 8 ( 10 sides) or 9 ( 9 sides), often 7 ( 8 sides, 6 sides in females), rarely 10 ( 3 sides, all in females) or 6 ( 3 sides), exceptionally 5 ( 1 side in a male). Plates between the rostral and the frontal most often 6 ( 16 sides), less often 5 ( 12 sides), sometimes 7 ( 6 sides). Scales between the frontal and the supraciliaries 5 , sometimes 4 ( 9 sides) mostly in females ( 8 sides), exceptionally 3 ( 1 side). Scales around the interparietal usually symmetrical (irregular in only 4 specimens), usually 6 ( 9 specimens), sometimes 7 ( 5 cases) or 8 ( 3 cases). Scales around the nasal generally 7 ( 20 sides), sometimes 6 ( 7 sides, 2 in males) or 8 ( 6 sides, 5 in females), rarely 9 ( 1 side), rarely including the rostral (2 sides). Paralabials usually 9 ( 18 sides), sometimes 8 (13 sides), rarely 7 ( 3 sides). Paralabials in contact with subocular generally 4 ( 15 sides), sometimes 5 ( 10 sides) or 3 ( 9 sides). Plates between the upper labials around the snout behind nasals 7-13 (mean $=10.6), 10-15$ at the canthal level (mean $=12.25)$. Scales between nasal and subocular usually 4 , rarely 5 ( 7 sides) or 6 ( 2 sides); in this last case, the subocular is actually divided, which is a presumed return to a primitive condition. Preanal pores 5 ( 6 specimens), 4 ( 2 specimens), or 3 ( 1 specimen). In frontal, always at least one azygous element, most often 2 (7 cases), only single


Figure 6. Scatter-diagram of minimum distance between nasals (ordinates) and minimum distance between supraoculars (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus robustus, sp. nov. $\bullet=$ L. polystictus, sp. nov.
(frontal undivided) in one case, 3 in a longitudinal line ( 1 case), 3 with 2 in front ( 2 cases), 3 with 2 behind ( 2 cases), 3 with 2 laterals ( 1 case), 4 with 2 in front and 2 longitudinally behind ( 1 case), 5 with 2 in front, 2 behind and 1 central ( 2 cases).

The color pattern of males hardly varies at all; the fine and regular dorsal punctation and the ventral reticulation are always


Figure 7. Scatter-diagram of rostral height (ordinates) and distance between subocular upper border and mouth (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus robustus. $\bullet=$ L. polystictus, sp. nov.
present but are obscured in the specimens belonging to the Field Museum of Natural History, presumably because of too long an exposure to formalin. The females and the young are gray to reddish brown (in alcohol) with the usual two latero-dorsal series of blackish blotches.

Habitat. The only information we have is that of W. E. Duellman, who found the juvenile paratype under a rock in a grassy river valley.

Size. Snout-vent length of the largest male 86 mm (tail 102 mm ), of the largest female 69 mm (tail 77 mm ).

Systematic Position. Both L. robustus and L. polystictus are vicariants of $L$. signifer. They are considered different species because they are well-differentiated and separated by mountainous barriers that must have interrupted any gene flow over a long period of time.

The most obvious differences between $L$. polystictus and $L$. signifer are the color pattern, and the great disparity in size between the sexes in the former.

There are other characters. The frontal in L. polystictus is more often divided than in L. robustus but less than in L. signifer. A similar intermediacy is apparent in scale numbers (see Table 3) except for the ventral longitudinal counts that are even lower, although very slightly, in polystictus than in robustus.

Morphometrically, L. polystictus is more similar to signifer than to robustus in some respects but more similar to robustus in others (width of head).

The pertinent data are indicated in Table 3, but the ratios somewhat understate the differences because of allometric distortions. The scatter-diagrams give a better idea of the character differences (see Figs. 3-7).

> Liolaemus williamsi, new species (Pl. IV, Figs. 1-4)

Holotype. One male (LACM 9323), Pampas Galeras, between Nazca and Puquio, Depto. Ayacucho, Peru, x-1965, coll. S. W. Taft.

Paratypes. Four males, 3 females, 2 juveniles (LACM 931922, 9324-28), same data. Four males, 3 females, 3 juveniles (LACM 9329-38), Lucanas, Pampas Galeras, 96 km from Nazca,


Plate IV. Liolaemus williamsi, sp. nov. Holotype male: LACM 9323. Pampas Galeras, Depto. Ayacucho, Peru. 1. Dorsal view of head. 2. Ventral view of head. 3. Dorsal view. 4. Ventral view.


Figure 8. Scatter-diagram of length of the first finger, without claw (ordinates) and length of 5 dorsal scales (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ L. williamsi. $\oplus=$ L. polystictus.

Depto. Ayacucho, Peru, iv-vii-1963, coll. S. W. Taft. One female (LACM 35867), Pampas Galeras, 300 miles south of Lima, Depto. Ayacucho, Peru, iii-1966, coll. S. W. Taft. One male (MCZ 100435), Lucanas, Pampas Galeras, Depto. Ayacucho, Peru. One male, 2 females, 4 juveniles (MCZ 145335-41), Reserva Nacional de Pampas Galeras, 90 km from Nazca, Depto. Ayacucho, 21, iv-1974, coll. R. A. Mittermeier. One female (MCZ 157223), Pampas Galeras, Lucanas (exch. Mus. Javier Prado).

Diagnosis. A middle-sized and somewhat melanistic species of the $L$. signifer group, differing from $L$. signifer by its larger and less numerous scales and the presence of preanal pores in some females.

It differs from L. signifer in the following features: 1) 54-67 scales around midbody instead of 66-82; 2) 48-65 dorsal scales instead of $65-87$ between occiput and level of front borders of thighs; 3) 67-78 ventral scales instead of 74-92 between postmentals and vent; 4) minimum width of frontal region 18-29\% of length of 5 dorsal scales instead of $25-49 \%$; 5) length of 5 dorsal scales $67-104 \%$ of ear-eye distance instead of $29-71 \%$.

It can be distinguished from $L$. robustus by the following differences, apart from color pattern: 1) 66-77 lateral scales between


Figure 9. Scatter-diagram of minimum distance between nasals (ordinates) and minimum distance between supraoculars (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus polystictus, $\mathrm{sp} . \operatorname{nov} . \bullet=$. williamsi. The difference is essentially allometric.
legs instead of 49-70; 2) 17-22 dorsal scales instead of 12-19 in head length.

It differs from L. polystictus by the larger number of ventral scales between postmentals and vent (67-78 instead of 62-71) and several morphometric characters mostly noticeable only on scatter-diagrams (Figs. 8, 9).

Description of the Holotype. Head length ( $\mathrm{HL}=19.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) $25.6 \%$ of snout-vent length (SVL $=75 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Vertical lateral folds of the neck overshadowed by a horizontal and sinuous fold bifurcated in front. Scales on upper face of head convex, 15 on the midline. Rostral plate 2.87 times wider ( $\mathrm{WR}=3.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) than high ( $\mathrm{HR}=1.29 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Nasal more or less trapezoidal, surrounded by 6 scales. Nostril round, in the posterior part of nasal, nearer to point of snout ( $\mathrm{NS}=2.55 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) than to hind border of canthal scale $(\mathrm{NC}=2.89 \mathrm{~mm})$. Two anterior and 4 posterior internasals.

Table 5. Meristic characters in Liolaemus williamsi, SP. NOv. ( 11 ôô, 11 if, JUVENILES NOT INCLUDED).

|  | oें | ᄋᄋ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Scales around midbody | $54-64(\bar{x}=59)$ | $57-67(\bar{x}=60.09)$ |
| Dorsal scales | $48-62(\bar{x}=54.64)$ | $49-65(\bar{x}=57.82)$ |
| Ventral scales | $67-77(\bar{x}=70.82)$ | $71-78(\bar{x}=74.55)$ |
| Lateral scales | $66-75(\bar{x}=70.36)$ | $66-77(\bar{x}=72.36)$ |
| Scales of tail's 15th verticil | $17-21(\bar{x}=19.36)$ | $18-22(\bar{x}=19.36)$ |

Ear openings oval and very slightly oblique, surrounded by granular scales smaller behind than in front. Temporals convex, smooth, and slightly imbricate, 6-7 between the postsubocular and the ear. Frontal region occupied by 2 azygous scales. Six supraoculars, 7 or 6 supraciliaries, the 5 th or 4 th below the 4 th and 6th or the 3rd and 5th. Five to six scales between the rostral and the frontal. Five scales between the frontal and the superciliaries.

In prefrontal zone, between the posterior internasals and the anterior frontal, 12 scales, more or less symmetrically arranged. Four intercanthal scales. Across the snout, between left and right labials, 11 scales just behind the postnasal level, 11 also at the canthal level. Four or 5 scales between nasal and subocular. Paralabials $8-9,5$ in contact with the subocular.

Supralabials 8-9. Infralabials 5, followed by 6-7 granules as far as the corner of the mouth. Mental fan-shaped, in contact with 4 scales.

Lateral scales of neck granular, 46 between ear and fore limb. Thirty-three scales between ear openings. Fifty-nine scales around body. Fifty between occiput and level of insertion of thighs.

Dorsal scales imbricate and keeled. Lateral scales smaller, imbricate and feebly keeled, still smaller at axilla and groin. Seventytwo scales between legs. Ventral scales smooth and imbricate, 68 between mental and preanal pores. Four preanal pores. Caudal scales similar to body scales, 17 in the 15 th verticil. Sixteen subdigital lamellae under 4th finger, 20 beneath 4th toe.

Color (in Alcohol). Blackish with numerous tiny gray dots (one on each scale). Below, darkish gray, with light areas on cloacal region and thighs.


Figure 10. Scatter-diagram of length of 5 dorsal scales (ordinates) and ear-eye distance (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus williamsi. $\bullet$ L. signifer. $\mathrm{S}=$ Type of $L$. signifer.

## Color (in Life). Unknown.

Variation (see Table 5). Upper labials generally 8 (18 sides) or 9 (20 sides), sometimes 10 ( 9 sides), rarely 7 ( 2 sides), 11 ( 1 side) or 12 ( 1 side), the first 4 to 8 without small scales below and inside. Lower labials generally 6 ( 26 sides) or 5 ( 21 sides), rarely 7 ( 4 sides) or 4 ( 1 side). Supraoculars generally 5 ( 30 sides), sometimes 6 ( 15 sides) or 4 ( 9 sides), rarely 3 ( 1 side) or 7 ( 1 side). Supraciliaries as usual 7, the 5th below the 4th and 6th, but anomalies are frequent: 6 (5) ( 5 sides), 6 (4) ( 1 side), 8 (6) ( 3 sides), 8 (5) ( 1 side), 9 (6) ( 1 side), or 8 ( 4 and 6 ) ( 1 side), 6 ( 0 ) ( 1 side).

Temporals between postsubocular and ear generally 7 ( 32 sides), sometimes 8 ( 9 sides) or 6 ( 7 sides), rarely 9 ( 4 sides). Scales between rostral and frontal most often 5 ( 27 sides), less often 6 ( 20 sides), rarely 7 ( 3 sides), 4 ( 1 side) or 8 ( 1 side). Scales between


Figure 11. Scatter-diagram of minimum distance between upper border of subocular and lip (ordinates) and supraocular length (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $=$ L. robustus. $\times=$ L. williamsi.


Figure 12. Scatter-diagram of minimum width of the frontal region (ordinates) and length of 5 dorsal scales (abscissa). Measurements in tenths of a millimeter. $\times=$ Liolaemus williamsi, sp. nov. $\quad=$ L. robustus, sp. nov.
frontal and supraciliaries normally 4 ( 29 sides), often 5 (19 sides), rarely 3 ( 5 sides), exceptionally 2 ( 1 side). Scales around the interparietal often asymmetrical ( 13 cases), most often 7 ( 13 cases), sometimes 8 ( 7 cases), rarely 9 ( 3 cases) or 6 ( 3 cases), exceptionally 10 ( 1 case). Scales around nasal normally 6 ( 33 sides), sometimes 7 ( 13 sides), rarely 5 ( 2 sides) or 8 ( 3 sides). Paralabials most often 8 ( 23 sides), often 7 ( 13 sides) or 9 ( 14 sides), rarely 6 ( 1 side) or 10 ( 1 side). Paralabials in contact with subocular generally 4 ( 22 sides), often 3 ( 13 sides) or 5 ( 11 sides), rarely 2 ( 3 sides) or 6 ( 1 side). Scales between supralabials $8-14$ at the postnasal level $($ mean $=10.75), 11-15($ mean $=12.53)$ at the canthal level. Preanal pores, 5 in 5 males, 4 in 4 males, 6 in 2 males, present also but poorly developed in some females. Frontal not divided in 1 case, divided into 2 median scales in 12 cases, into 4 symmetric scales in 1 case, into 5 scales symmetrically arranged ( 2 anterior, 1 azygous, 2 posterior) in 2 cases, into 5 scales with the azygous posterior in 1 case, into 3 scales with the azygous one posterior in 2 cases, into 3 scales with the azygous one anterior in 2 cases.

Tail, when not broken or regenerated, longer than snout-vent length, 127 to $138.5 \%$ (mean $=131.45 \%$ ).

Size. Largest male 76 mm SVL, largest female 77 mm SVL.
Color (in Alcohol). In males, as shown by specimens other than the holotype, the color is generally blackish, sometimes with light markings that can fuse and form more or less oblique and discontinuous transverse lines. In one specimen, the color is lighter and allows about 14 pairs of blackish spots to be seen. The belly can be light with a few black dots, but is more often dark with a few light areas or spots. In females and juveniles the two longitudinal series of dark blotches on a light background are distinct. The belly is light with dark markings.
L. williamsi differs from L. signifer, L. robustus, and L. polystictus by its smaller size and dark coloration (possibly artificial in some specimens), the presence of preanal pores in some females, the frequencies of its meristic characters, and some of its proportions (see Table 3 and Figs. 8-12). As described above, the species represents the differentiation of populations of relatively smaller size in the upper parts of Pacific Andean valleys isolated from larger-size populations of the Altiplano.
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## APPENDIX

| Subgenus Liolaemus | Subgenus Eulaemus |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | signifer group |
| L. alticolor Barbour 1909 | L. andinus |
| L. atacamensis Müller \& Hellmich | a. andinus Koslowsky 1895 <br> 1933 |
| a. poecilochromus Laurent 1986  <br> L. austromendocinus Cei 1974 L. aymararum Veloso, Sallaberry, |  |

APPENDIX. Continued.

| Subgenus Liolaemus | Subgenus Eulaemus |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | signifer group |
| L. bellii | Navarro, Iturra, Valencia, Penna |
| b. bellii Gray 1845 | \& Diaz 1982 |
| b. araucaniensis Müller \& Hellmich | L. disjunctus Laurent 1990 |
| 1932 | L. dorbignyi Koslowsky 1898 |
| b. moradoensis Hellmich 1950 | L. eleodori Cei, Etheridge \& Videla |
| b. neuquensis Müller \& Hellmich 1938 | $1985$ <br> L. fabiani Yañez \& Nuñez 1983 |
| L. bibronii Bell 1843 | L. famatinae Cei 1980 |
| L. bisignatus Philippi 1860 | L. fittkaui Laurent 1986 |
| L. bitaeniatus Laurent 1984 | L. forsteri Laurent 1982 |
| L. buergeri Werner 1907 | L. griseus Laurent 1984 |
| L. capillitas Hulse 1979 | L. huacahuasicus Laurent 1985 |
| L. ceii Donoso-Barros 1971 | L. islugensis Ortiz \& Marquet 1987 |
| L. chiliensis Lesson 1828 | L. jamesi Boulenger 1891 |
| L. coeruleus Cei \& Ortiz 1983 | L. montanus Koslowsky 1898 |
| L. constanzae Donoso-Barros 1961 | L. multicolor Koslowsky 1898 |
| L. copiapensis Müller \& Hellmich | L. nigriceps Philippi 1860 |
| 1933 | L. orientalis Müller 1923 |
| L. curicensis Müller \& Hellmich 1938 | L. ortizi Laurent 1982 |
| L. curis Nuñez \& Labra 1985 | L. polystictus Laurent 1991 |
| L. cyanogaster | L. puritamensis Nuñez \& Fox 1989 |
| c. cyanogaster Duméril \& Bibron | L. robustus Laurent 1991 |
| 1837 | L. ruibali Donoso-Barros 1961 |
| c. brattstroemi Donoso-Barros 1961 | L. schmidti Marx 1960 |
| L. donosoi Ortiz 1975 | L. signifer |
| L. duellmani Cei 1978 | s. signifer Duméril \& Bibron 1837 |
| L. elongatus | s. annectens Boulenger 1901 |
| e. elongatus Koslowsky 1896 | L. williamsi Laurent 1991 |
| e. petrophilus Donoso-Barros \& Cei 1971 |  |
| L. exploratorum Cei \& Williams 1984 | zingeril |
| L. fitzgeraldi Boulenger 1899 | L. boulengeri Koslowsky 1898 |
| L. fuscus Boulenger 1885 | L. chacoensis Shreve 1948 |
| L. gracilis Bell 1843 | L. darwinii Bell 1843 |
| L. gravenhorstii Gray 1845 | L. donosobarrosi Cei 1974 |
| L. hellmichi Donoso-Barros 1974 | L. fitzingerii |
| L. hernani Sallaberry, Nuñez \& | f. fitzingerii Duméril \& Bibron 1837 |
| Yañez 1982 | f. canqueli Cei 1973 |
| L. kriegi Müller \& Hellmich 1939 | L. irregularis Laurent 1986 |
| L. kuhlmanni Müller \& Hellmich 1932 | L. melanops <br> m. melanops Burmeister, 1888 |

## APPENDIX. Continued.

| Subgenus Liolaemus | Subgenus Eulaemus |
| :---: | :---: |
| L. lemniscatus Gravenhorst 1838 | m. xanthoviridis Cei \& Scolaro |
| L. leopardinus | 1980 |
| l. leopardinus Müller \& Hellmich 1932 | L. ornatus Koslowsky 1898 <br> L. rothi Koslowsky 1898 |
| l. ramonensis Müller \& Hellmich 1932 | L. uspallatensis Macola \& Castro 1982 |
| l. valdesianus Hellmich 1950 |  |
| L. lorenzmuelleri Hellmich 1950 |  |
| L. monticola m. monticola Müller \& Hellmich 1932 |  |
| m. chillanensis Müller \& Hellmich 1932 |  |
| m. villaricensis Müller \& Hellmich $1932$ |  |
| L. nigromaculatus Wiegmann 1834 |  |
| L. nigroviridis |  |
| n. nigroviridis Müller \& Hellmich 1932 |  |
| n. campanae Hellmich 1950 |  |
| n. minor Müller \& Hellmich 1932 |  |
| n. nigroroseus Donoso-Barros 1966 |  |
| L. nitidus Wiegmann 1834 |  |
| L. paulinae Donoso-Barros 1961 |  |
| L. pictus |  |
| p. pictus Duméril \& Bibron 1837 |  |
| p. argentinus Müller \& Hellmich 1939 |  |
| p. chiloeensis Müller \& Hellmich 1939 |  |
| p. major Boulenger 1885 |  |
| p. talcanensis Urbana \& Zungia 1977 |  |
| L. platei Werner 1898 |  |
| L. robertmertensi Hellmich 1964 |  |
| L. sanjuanensis Cei 1982 |  |
| L. schroederi Müller \& Hellmich 1938 |  |
| L. tacnae Shreve 1941 |  |
| L. tenuis |  |
| t. tenuis Duméril \& Bibron 1837 |  |
| $t$. punctatissimus Müller \& Hellmich 1933 |  |

APPENDIX. Continued.
Subgenus Liolaemus Subgenus Eulaemus
L. variegatus Laurent 1984
L. velosoi Ortiz 1987
L. walkeri Shreve 1938
L. zapallarensis
z. zapallarensis Müller \& Hellmich 1933
z. ater Müller \& Hellmich 1933
z. sieversi Donoso-Barros 1954
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