Commission be designating if it accedes to Griffiths's request? For such nondescript flies as Anthomyiidae the ancient illustrations of Harris are hardly up to the needs of modern taxonomy, and Pont & Michelsen themselves seem not to have been overly convinced that lancifer should be treated as a senior synonym of conica, writing 'We think that this [lancifer] is most probably Hydrophoria conica ...'. In such woolly circumstances it is unhelpful (in fact most unwise) of Griffiths to ignore the type specimen situation. There is clearly need here, while the type species muddle is sorted, for a neotype to be designated for lancifer Harris: only this will ensure proper understanding of the species concerned and make for the needed future stability. The Commission's approval of the designation of lancifer Harris as type species of Hydrophoria should be contingent upon revision of the case so that it deals with this important point. A neotype specimen should be designated and such designation (part-and-parcel of the application) approved by Commission action. A suitably selected neotype would uphold the synonymy of conica with lancifer that has begun to be accepted over the past few years. (A type specimen probably exists for conica Wiedemann, and evidence could helpfully be presented simultaneously that this is conspecific with the lancifer neotype: the specific names should then both go on the appropriate list). I recommend that the Commission rejects the application as formulated, but acts as Griffiths suggests once the type specimen question has been properly presented.

Comments on the proposed conservation of Sicus Scopoli, 1763 and Myopa Fabricius, 1775 by the designation of Conops buccata Linnaeus, 1758 as the type species of Myopa (Insecta, Diptera), and on the proposed rejection of Coenomyia Latreille, 1796
(Case 2881; see BZN 51: 31–34)

(1) Curtis W. Sabrosky
205 Medford Leas, Medford, New Jersey 08055, U.S.A.

I support and applaud the application to resolve the difficulty concerning Sicus Scopoli, 1763 and Myopa Fabricius, 1775. This is a useful clarification of confusion in the family Conopidae.

The type species of Sicus is widely accepted as Conops ferruginea Linnaeus, 1761 but an objective examination could lead to another conclusion. Sicus was based on two nominal species, S. ferrugineus and S. buccatus. There is no problem with the authorship of the second species, under which was cited reference to C. buccata Linnaeus, 1758; the latter has long been accepted as the type species of Myopa Fabricius, 1775. However, there is no citation under S. ferrugineus, and to all appearances this is a new species S. ferrugineus Scopoli, 1763, rather than a simple oversight of a citation. Nevertheless, almost all authors have regularly interpreted this ferrugineus as Conops ferruginea Linnaeus, 1761 and have cited the Linnaean nominal species as the type species of Sicus (see, for example, Coquillett, 1910, and the three modern regional catalogs of Camras, 1965, Smith, 1975, p. 384 and Majer, 1988, p. 32, where there is no mention of ferrugineus Scopoli; para. 1 of the application). Bezzi (1907, p. 271) listed Scopoli's species, although as a synonym of
The descriptions of *ferrugineus* in Scopoli and Linnaeus are slightly but not impossibly different. If the Scopoli species were considered to be *S. ferrugineus* Scopoli, this could be designated as the type species of *Sicus* and then recognized as a junior synonym (and a junior secondary homonym) of *C. ferrugineus* Linnaeus.

The name *Coenomyia* Latreille, 1796 has no place in the *Sicus-Myopa* problem in the *Conopidae*. It is part of the confusion arising from the usage of the name *Sicus* in three different families of Diptera (para. 6 of the application). *Coenomyia* is an important name in its own right and should not be rejected (cf. paras. 4 and 6 of the application). The ‘*Sicus ferrugineus F.*’ referred to by Latreille (1802), which is the type species of *Coenomyia* by subsequent monotypy, was *Musca ferruginea* Scopoli, 1763 (cf. James, 1965, p. 296; Webb, 1983, pp. 653–664; Majer, 1988, p. 32; Thompson & Pont, 1993, p. 75). *Coenomyia ferruginea* (Scopoli) has a widespread Holarctic distribution. It was long placed in its own family *Coenomyiidae*, but has been combined recently with the *Xylophagidae*.

A type designation for *Sicus* Fabricius, 1798 (said in para. 6 of the application to be unknown) was made by myself (Sabrosky, 1961; BZN 18: 228) in a report on Meigen’s (1800) work. The type is *Musca ferruginea* Scopoli, 1763, rendering *Sicus* Fabricius a junior objective synonym of *Coenomyia* Latreille, as well as being a junior homonym of *Sicus* Scopoli.

**Additional references**


(2) Terry A. Wheeler

*Department of Environmental Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1*

I support the application by Camras for the conservation of *Myopa* Fabricius, 1775 and *Sicus* Scopoli, 1763 as currently recognized. However, I disagree with the proposal to place *Coenomyia* Latreille, 1796 on the Official Index as a junior objective synonym of *Sicus* Scopoli, 1763. *Coenomyia* is currently in widespread use and is the type genus of the family *Coenomyiidae*; rejection of the generic name would cause unnecessary confusion in the nomenclature of the Diptera. The proposal is based on the erroneous assumption that *Coenomyia* and *Sicus* Scopoli have the same type species.
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