6. On a new Mongoose allied to *Herpestes albicaudatus*.
By OLDFIELD THOMAS, Natural History Museum.

[Received November 20, 1889.]

(Plate LXII.)

In a Monograph of the African Mongooses which I had the honour of laying before this Society in 1882¹, the extreme constancy in the size of the teeth in this group was pointed out, and the species were shown to be readily distinguishable by the relative dimensions of their posterior cheek-teeth, both upper and lower. While the more typical and specialized species, such for example as *H. ichneumon*, have their m^2 very small, and averaging in its greatest diameter less than 60 per cent. of the last premolar (p^4), one species, *H. albicaudatus*, forming the type of the subgenus *Ichneumia*, has this percentage 70 or more, and all the teeth are of a much less specialized and secant type than in the others.

The species I now propose to describe is remarkable for having its posterior teeth even larger than in *H. albicaudatus*. The type specimen is a skeleton without a skin, which has been some time in the Cambridge Museum, and which the authorities of that institution have been good enough to transfer by way of exchange to the National Collection. This specimen is believed to have been collected by Mr. T. E. Buckley either on the Limpopo or in Zululand, but most unfortunately all definite record of its history has been lost.

The most striking characteristic of the new species, which may be termed *Herpestes grandis*, is its large size and great length of limb. Its skull is only exceeded in length, and that very slightly, by one skull in the whole Museum collection of Mongooses, namely by that of the type specimen of *H. galera robustus*, Gray², a thickly built, short-limbed form, whose long-bones are nearly 20 per cent. shorter than are those of *H. grandis*.

Comparing now *H. grandis* with *H. albicaudatus*, to which alone it is in any way closely related, we find that that species occasionally attains dimensions approximately equal to its own, although the great majority of specimens, especially those from North-east Africa and Arabia³, are very much smaller.

The real difference between the two lies in the form and dimensions of the teeth. Firstly, in *H. grandis* the canines both above and below are markedly longer and heavier than in *H. albicaudatus*, exceeding those in the largest available specimen of that species by at least 2 mm. in length above and 3 mm. below, and in thickness by 1 or $1\frac{1}{2}$ mm., although it is almost impossible to take the measurements exactly, owing to the absence of a distinct cingulum in this

¹ P. Z. S. 1882, p. 59 *et seqq.*

² See the above-quoted paper, p. 72.

³ Since my Monograph was written, Mr. A. S. G. Jayakar has obtained examples of this species at Muscat.

HERPESTES GRANDIS.

Thomas, Oldfield. 1889. "On a new Mongoose allied to *Herpestes albicaudatus*." *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1889, 622–624.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1889.tb06799.x>.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/96894>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1889.tb06799.x>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/72930>

Holding Institution

Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.