# A PROPOSED CLASSIFICATION OF THE FOSSORIAL HYMENOPTERA OF NORTH AMERICA.

#### BY WILLIAM J. FOX.

The arrangement of our Fossores contained in the following pages, I trust will be of some service to students of these insects. It has been evident for some time that the existing arrangement, that contained in Cresson's Synopsis,<sup>1</sup> is of little value, as it is too superficial. Entirely too many families, without characters to substantiate them, were recognized : the Sphegidae, for instance, which were divided into no less than nine families. Accepting these nine families would, it seems to me, necessitate the erection of families for such genera as *Neolarra*, *Bothynostethus*, *Trypoxylon* and others, which stand more or less isolated and yet possess characters which connect them in one way or another with the formerly existing families and would form more distinct families, were they so recognized, than, say, the Mellinidæ, Ampulicidæ, Nyssonidæ or Bemblicidæ. How these nine supposed families have been disposed of, the following pages will show.

Saussure's recent classification<sup>2</sup> is not satisfactory, inasmuch as it is incomplete, and, moreover, his conclusions, particularly regarding the Pompilidæ, are not well founded. He makes two tribes of this family, the Pompiliens and Pepsiens, separating them on a very trivial character—the position of insertion of the first recurrent nervure in the second submarginal cell, a character which, in my experience, has always proved variable. Under the first mentioned tribe he includes *Ceropales*, which he seems to consider as not worthy of more than generic rank, while he forms a tribe for the reception of *Pepsis*, which should be placed with the Pompiliens, if anywhere. The Mutillidæ and Sapygidæ are considered as subfamilies of the Scoliidæ; these are ranked as families in this paper. The old families Pemphredonidæ and Crabronidæ and *Oxybelus*, he considers as tribes of equal value to the Nyssonidæ, Bembicidæ and Larridæ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Cresson states that this was simply compiled from the works of other authors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Grandidier's Hist. Madagascar, XX.

# 1894.] NATURAL SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA.

all of which are ranked as tribes of the Sphegidæ. The two families mentioned and *Oxybelus* are treated as subfamilies in this paper as they are more distinct than are the numerous tribes of the Bembicinæ and Spheginæ.

It is hoped that the paper may at least call forth improvements on the classification suggested.

# MUTILLIDÆ.

I regard this as a well-defined family, disagreeing with some authors who place it as a subfamily of the Scoliidæ. The wingless females are, in my opinion, sufficient to separate these insects from the Scoliidæ. In the latter family the intermediate coxæ are widely separated, while in the Mutillidæ they are not separated by a distance equalling their width. In the generic table below, *Photopsis* Blake is considered as synonymous with *Sphærophthalma* Blake, as a comparison of the two genera fails to show *any* differential characters. The family can be separated into two tribes as follows:—

# Tribe I.-MUTILLINI. -

Contains the genera *Psammotherma*,<sup>3</sup> *Mutilla*, *Sphaerophthalma* (=*Photopsis* in pt.), *Brachycistis* and *Chyphotes*, and is defined as above. The genera may be tabulated as follows:—

1—Antennæ simple in both sexes
Antennæ of male flabellate PSAMMOTHERMA Latr.
2—Eyes ovate, emarginate within the $\mathcal{Z}$ , entire in $\mathcal{Q}$ ; thorax of
♀ generally oblong in shape, truncate behind. MUTILLA Linné.
Eyes round, entire; thorax generally ovate, rounded pos-
teriorly
3—Intermediate tibiæ with two apical spurs
Intermediate tibiæ with but one apical spur; wing stigma very
large; body smooth, glabrous; marginal cell usually shorter
than stigma; antennæ longer than head and thorax

<sup>3</sup> Probably does not occur in America, the species described being very likely erroneously reported from Florida.

# Tribe II.-MYRMOSINI.

Proposed for the genera *Myrmosa* and *Methoca*, and is characterized chiefly by the females possessing distinct ocelli. But few species have been described from America.

If the genus *Thynnus* occurs in America as reported by Patton (Ent. News, III, 104) another tribe will have to be added to this family. I doubt, however, the existence of American representatives.

#### SCOLIIDÆ.

This family is sufficiently characterized by both sexes being winged to separate it from the Mutillidæ. The North American representatives comprise three tribes,<sup>6</sup> as follows:—

Eyes emarginate; spur of fore tible large, strongly curved, dilated, and truncate at end; intermediate tible with one spur; abdomen

of 3 armed with three spines at apex . . . . . . SCOLIINI.

Eyes entire; spur of fore tarsi not much curved or dilated, either pointed or bifurcate at end; intermediate tibiæ with one or two spurs; abdomen of 3 with but one spine at apex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This may ultimately prove but a division or subgenus of Mutilla.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> There are really four submarginals, as the cubital nervure extends out to the apex of wing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> After Saussure.

# Tribe I.—SCOLIINI.

Two genera occur in our fauna, as follows:---

Each of these genera may be divided into subgenera by the number of submarginal cells. In the subgenus *Triscolia* there are three cells, while in *Discolia* there are two. In *Trielis* three, in *Dielis* two.

# Tribe II.—TIPHIINI.

## Tribe III.—MYZININI.

This tribe is identical with Saussure's "Section des Plesiites." *Plesia* seems to be synonymous with *Myzine* Latr. The latter has priority, being described two years in advance of *Plesia*. But one genus, *Myzine*, is found in America, which may be distinguished by the tribal characters given above.

## SAPYGIDÆ.

Intermediate coxæ contiguous; legs, except tibial spurs, unarmed; no pygidial area; apex of  $(\mathcal{S})$  abdomen without spines. These characters seem sufficient to keep these insects distinct from the preceding family, to which they have been assigned by some authors, and, moreover, the first and second ventral segments are contiguous, while in the Scoliidæ they are widely separated. Sapyga, our only genus, has the eyes emarginate within, the intermediate tibiæ with two spurs. For several species having the vertex tuberculate, the

Г1894.

name *Eusapyga* has been proposed by Cresson, but these form only a subgenus at the most.

### POMPILIDÆ.

This is a distinct family characterized by the very long posterior legs, long antennæ, and by the first and second ventral segments being not widely separated. The species possess no pygidium. I would separate the family into three tribes, placing the Ceropalini first, as I consider the genus *Ceropales* as being closest to the Sapygidæ.

First discoidal cell not longer than first submarginal; submedian cell of anterior wings longer than the median on the externomedian nervure; second discoidal cell not half the size of the third; labrum exserted, longer than the clypeus; abdomen compressed apically.....NOTOCYPHINI.
First discoidal cell longer than first submarginal; labrum not exserted; length of median cell of anterior wings variable; second discoidal cell at least half the size of the third; abdomen rarely compressed.....POMPILINI.

# Tribe I.—CEROPALINI.

This tribe contains but a single genus, *Ceropales*, having the characters given above. The species are always more or less ornamented with yellow, some being extremely handsome.

# Tribe II.—NOTOCYPHINI.

The genus *Notocyphus* constitutes this tribe, which differs chiefly from the Ceropalini by the non-exserted sting sheath.

# Tribe III.-POMPILINI.

The Pompilini contains the typical forms of the family and is, by far, the largest tribe. *Pepsis*, which Saussure considers as a tribe, should, in my opinion, be placed in the tribe Pompilini, as its characters will not warrant a tribal distinction. *Parapompilus* Cress. (non Sm.), *Planiceps* Latr., and *Aporus* Spin., seem to be merely groups of the genus *Pompilus*, as has been pointed out by Kohl.<sup>7</sup> *Epipom*-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Verh. zool.-bot. Gesell., Wien, XXXIV, pp. 33-58.

#### 1894.] NATURAL SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA.

pilus Kohl is scarcely worthy of generic distinction, and should be treated as of equal value as Parapompilus Cress.

1—Anterior wings with three submarginal cells
Anterior wings with two submarginal cells
2-Third <sup>8</sup> ventral segment with a transverse furrow (indistinct in
the $\mathcal{J}$ of some species)
Third ventral segment without a transverse furrow 6
3—First recurrent nervure received by the second submarginal cell
in or about the middle. Hind tarsi $(\mathcal{F})$ not flattened 4
First recurrent nervure received by the second submarginal cell
not far from its base, and considerably before its middle. Hind
tarsi $(\mathcal{F})$ flattened. Fifth, or fifth and sixth ventral segments
$(\mathcal{Z})$ nearly always with long, stiff hair, often forming two tufts.
Metathorax with a more or less developed tubercle before each
stigma
4-Hind tibiæ not spinose, or scarcely so; submedian cell of fore wings
generally but slightly longer than the median on the externo-
medial nervure
Hind tibiæ more or less spinose, generally serrato spinose, most
strongly so in the $\mathcal{Q}$
5-Submedian cell of fore wings longer than the median on the ex-
terno-medial nervure SALIUS Fabr. (= Priocnemis Sch.).
Submedian cell of fore wings of the same length as the median
on the externo-medial nervure (eyes converging somewhat
towards the vertex)
6—Maxillæ of $\mathcal{Q}$ with a bunch of long hair at the base
Maxillæ of $\mathfrak{Q}$ naked PSEUDAGENIA <sup>10</sup> Kohl.
7—Prothorax shorter than the metathorax
Prothorax longer than the metathorax; head very flat and trans-
verse, the clypeus planate . PARAPOMPILUS Cress. (non Smith).
8-Legs strongly spinose; prothorax on the sides not strongly de-
pressed; fore femora not swollen POMPILUS Fabr.
Legs, except tibial spurs, not spinose; prothorax strongly depressed
on the sides; fore femora somewhat swollen

<sup>8</sup> Second ventral of some authors.

<sup>9</sup> I have not seen this genus.

<sup>10</sup> I can find no characters to separate the  $\mathcal{F}$ 's of Agenia and Pseudagenia, as the characters given by Kohl are not constant. The size of the second and third submarginal cells varies, and while some species of Agenia have the wings banded, in others they are clear. Of our species of Agenia Cress., cupidus, congruus, and acceptus are Pseudagenia. A new genus may have to be erected for A. Belfragei Cress.' Cameron is mistaken in referring A. nubifer, mexicanus, chloris, floridus, auripilis, and subvirescens to Pseudagenia, as they all have the bunch of hair at base of maxillar. they all have the bunch of hair at base of maxillæ.

[1894.

9—Metathorax posteriorly not emarginate, not produced; anterior femora swollen, their tarsi also rather thick; abdomen subcompressed.....PLANICEPS Latr. Metathorax posteriorly strongly emarginate, produced on each side into a strong tooth; fore femora and tarsi not thickened; abdomen not at all compressed.....APORUS Spin.

## SPHEGIDÆ.

I would divide this vast family into five subfamilies as follows: Spheginæ, Pemphredoninæ, Bembicinæ, Oxybelinæ, and Crabroninæ. I have thought it best to unite under one head the Larridæ, Bembicidæ, Nysonidæ, Mellinidæ, and Philanthidæ, as it is impossible to find characters by which these families (so-called) can be limited, even as subfamilies. The reader is referred to Handlirsch's paper on Nysson and Bembex.<sup>11</sup> It may seem out of place to put the Pemphredoninæ close to the Spheginæ; yet I feel justified in doing so on account of the abdominal petiole which is peculiar to both subfamilies.

Abdomen connected with the thorax by a slender pedicel of variable
length, and never sessile with the following segment.
Intermediate tibiæ with two apical spurs; claws nearly always
more or less dentate within SPHEGINÆ.
Intermediate tibiæ with but one apical spur; claws never dentate
within
Abdomen never connected with the thorax by a slender pedicel, at
the most subpetiolate as in Mellinus.
More than one submarginal cell, if not, then the eyes are emar-
ginate within; neuration of posterior wings complete.
Only one submarginal and two discoidal cells (eyes entire).
Metathorax with a long projection <sup>12</sup> at base; postscutellum with
a squama on each side; submarginal cell confluent with first
discoidal cell; eyes elongate-ovate, fully three times longer
than they are broad medially and converging towards the
vertex
Metathorax and postscutellum without spines or squamæ; sub-
marginal cell not confluent with the first discoidal cell; eyes
very broad, not more than twice as long as the width of their
broadest part and strongly diverging towards the vertex.
CRABRONINÆ.

<sup>11</sup> Sitzungsb. K. K. Akad. der Wissen., Wien, XCV, Abth. 1.

<sup>12</sup> This is variously shaped, being sometimes bifurcate and again spinose.

#### Subfamily SPHEGINÆ.

Represented by two tribes as follows :---

# Tribe I.—SPHEGINI.

Three genera belong to this tribe. They have numerous subgenera or groups of species which at one time were regarded as genera. Kohl's admirable paper, Die Hymenopterengruppe der Spheciden,<sup>13</sup> will be of much value to the student of this group. Our genera may be separated in the following manner:—

Second submarginal cell receiving but one recurrent nervure; Q with or without tarsal comb . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . SPHEX Linné. Second submarginal cell receiving both nervures.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$  without tarsal comb . . . . . . . . . . . . Sceliphron Klug.

♀ with tarsal comb . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AMMOPHILA Klug.

As Kohl's work is probably inaccessible to most workers, I give here a table of groups of the three genera: -

#### Genus SPHEX Linne.

Second submarginal cell small, much higher than broad.

tarsal comb (9) present, petiole straight . . . . Gr. SPHEX.

## Genus SCELIPHRON Klug.

Prothorax longer than the dorsulum.

Head from above not triangular, not much produced behind the eyes (the prothorax is but little longer than the dorsulum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Annalen d. K. K. Naturhistor. Hofmuseum, Wien, V, No. 2, 3.

Head from above triangular, greatly produced behind the eyes (the prothorax is longer than the dorsulum, scutellum and postscutellum combined).....Gr. TRIGONOPSIS. Prothorax not as long, at any rate not longer than the dorsulum.

#### Genus AMMOPHILA Kirby.

Wings with two submarginal cells . . . . . . . . . Gr. COLOPTERA. Wings with three submarginal cells.

Second abdominal segment elongate, forming with the first segment a long petiole . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Gr. AMMOPHILA. Second abdominal segment more or less campanulate, the petiole composed of but one joint . . . . . . . . . Gr. PSAMMOPHILA.

# Tribe II.—AMPULICINI.

The genus Ampulex is represented in North America by the subgenus Rhinopsis Westw. It is distinguished by the rostrate clypeus and by having two submarginal cells. The prothorax is long as in *Trigonopsis*; the metathorax is many ridged and has very strong transverse striæ above and possesses two strong teeth. The first submarginal cell is twice the length of the second. Marginal cell with an appendiculation at apex.

# Subfamily PEMPHREDONINÆ.

The Mimesidæ are here considered as representing a tribe of this subfamily, and *Mimesa* Shuck. as a synonym of *Psen* Latr. It is impossible to separate these two genera as their characters vary, particularly the neuration. It is true that the inner spur of hind tibiæ of *Mimesa* is peculiarly shaped, but this development will be found in *Psen*, although in a lesser degree.

clypeus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . PEMPHREDONINI.

## Tribe I.-PSENINI.

 $Psen \ (= Mimesa)$ , the only genus of this tribe, is easily distinguished by the characters given in the above table. The Psenini further differ from the Pemphredonini by the peculiar inner spur of hind tible.

# Tribe II.—PEMPHREDONINI.

The tribe Pemphredonini comprises the greater number of the

# 1894.] NATURAL SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA.

genera of this subfamily. The following table is based chiefly on that in Cresson's "Synopsis":-

Anterior wings with three discoidal cells, therefore with two recurrent nervures.

Abdomen with a tolerably long petiole . . . PEMPHREDON Latr. Abdomen with the petiole not longer than the hind coxæ.

Anterior wings with one submarginal cell . AMMOPLANUS Giraud. Anterior wings with two submarginal cells.

## Subfamily BEMBICINÆ.

Under this head I unite the Larridæ, Bembicidæ, Nyssonidæ, Philanthidæ, and Mellinidæ. The characters of these supposed families are not sufficient or constant enough to sustain them in such a rank, and are valueless in some cases, even as characters of minor importance. As in the case of the Bembicidae, it is easy to take such types as Bembex, Monedula, forms with rostrate clypeus, and separate them into a family, apparently distinct from the Nyssonidæ and Larridæ, if these genera are compared, say, with Larra and Nysson; but certain genera will be encountered, Neolarra and Bothynostethus for instance, whose proper position will remain undetermined. Neolarra combines both Larrid, Bembicid and Nyssonid characters, yet it will fit in neither of the families defined. Bothynostethus inclines to both the Larridæ and Nyssonidæ, and seems to be a connecting link between them. Stizus and Sphecius, although placed in the Bembicidæ, possesses the neuration and non-rostrate labrum, characters which bind them to the Nyssonidæ. It must not be forgotten that the labrum of the Nyssonidæ is prominent, indeed in Gorytes very prominent. Thirteen tribes of this subfamily seem to be indictated, which number will undoubtedly have to be reduced in the future.

<sup>14</sup> I have not seen *Polemistus* Sauss., described as occurring in Madagascar and Mexico. It is related to *Passal@cus* and *Diodontus*.

1-Labrum projecting in such a manner as to cover the mandibles Labrum projecting more or less,<sup>15</sup> but not covering the mandibles, never rostriform; antennæ situated close to or not far from base of clypeus; if the latter is divided into three lobes the middle Labrum not at all projecting, hidden from view by mandibles; antennæ situated far above the clypeus; middle lobe of latter 2-Mandibles emarginate on outer margin (except in Trypoxylon). 5 3-Three submarginal cells; intermediate tibiæ with two spurs. Second submarginal cell not petiolate; apical joint of antennæ (3) normal. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . MELLININI. Second submarginal cell petiolate; apical joint of antennæ (3)Two submarginal cells; intermediate tibiæ with one spur. 4-Intermediate tibiæ armed with two spurs at apex; submedian cell of posterior wings extending far beyond the median on the externo-medial nervure; labrum shorter than the clypeus, generally rounded anteriorly; ocelli distinct . . . . . . . STIZINI. Intermediate tibiæ with but one spur at apex; submedian cell of posterior wings not extending beyond the median on the externo-medial nervure; labrum longer than the clypeus, rostri-Hind ocelli more or less distorted . . . . . . . . . . LARRINI. Middle tibiæ with one spur at apex . . . . . . . . LYRODINI. 9--Eyes (3) touching above; second submarginal cell receiving both Eyes  $(\mathcal{Z})$  not touching on the vertex, widely separated; first and second submarginal cells each receiving a recurrent nervure . . .....DIPLOPLECTRINI. 10-No pygidial area (two submarginal cells) . . . . MISCOPHINI, A pygidial area (three submarginal cells)

# Tribe I.—PHILANTHINI.

<sup>15</sup> Astatini and Dioploplectrini seem to be exceptions to this definition, or else the labrum projects so little as to be indiscernible.

302

F1894.

- Hind femora more or less narrowed at apex, not truncate, and not produced beneath.
  - Abdomen with first segment not at all petiolate.

Eyes entire within; submedian cell of posterior wings much shorter than the median on the externo-medial nervure; Qwith a distinct pygidial area . . . . APHILANTHOPS Patt. Eyes more or less emarginate within; submedian cell of posterior wings as long or slightly longer than the median on the ex-

terno-medial nervure;  $\circ$  without a pygidial area.

Abdomen with first segment subpetiolate, as in *Mellinus*.

# Tribe II.—MELLININI.

In this tribe I include *Mellinus* and *Gorytes*, separating them from the Nyssonini chiefly because the apical joint of the 3 antennæ is normal and is not crescent or otherwise shaped as in the Nyssonini; also because the second submarginal cell is not petiolate as in that tribe.

Antennæ well separated, situated close to base of clypeus, anterior margin of clypeus denticulate; a recurrent nervure received by the third submarginal cell; abdomen always with first segment always petioliform . . . . . . . . . . . . . MELLINUS Fabr. Antennæ approximate, generally well separated from base of clypeus; anterior margin of clypeus rarely or never dentate; third submarginal cell never receiving a recurrent nervure, abdomen rarely with the first segment petioliform . . . . GORYTES Latr.

The genera Hoplisus, Dienoplus and Euspongus are identical with Gorutes

#### Tribe III.—NYSSONINI.

Prothorax above subquadrate, longer than dorsulum; metathorax not strongly spinose; (posterior femora beneath at apex, produced into a stout tooth), form slender.

In the foregoing table *Paranysson* and *Hyponysson* are considered synonymous with *Nysson*. The lack of the third submarginal cell in *N.* (*Hyponysson*) bicolor is simply an anomaly.<sup>16</sup> I have recently received another anomalous species (which is new) from New Mexico, which lacks the second (petiolated) submarginal cell.

# Tribe IV.-STIZINI.

Bembecinus and Megastizus are considered synonymous with Stizus in the foregoing table.<sup>17</sup>

#### Tribe V.—BEMBICINI.

Anterior ocellus linear, transversely arcuate.

Maxillary palpi six-jointed, labial palpi four-jointed. Metathorax excavated posteriorly, compressed laterally; last ventral segment (3) with three spines.
Maxillary palpi four-jointed, labial palpi two-jointed; metathorax flat or convex behind, not compressed laterally; last ventral segment (3) with a single spine.
BEMBEX Fabr. (=Microbembex Patt.).

Anterior ocellus elliptic, round or reniform.

### Tribe VI.-NEOLARRINI.

This tribe is based on a single genus *Neolarra* Ashm. which may be distinguished by its tribal characters. I have not examined this genus during the preparation of this classification, but if my memory serves me right it should be placed here, between the *Bembicini* and *Bothnostethini*.

304

[1894.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Handlirsch, Sitzb. K. Akad. Wissensch., Wien. Math.-naturw. Classe, XCV, Abth. 1, p. 293.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See Handlirsch, l. c. CI, p. 26-34.

# Tribe VII.—BOTHYNOSTETHINI.

Marginal cell truncate, with an appendiculation; eyes converging towards vertex; hind femora not thickened at apex . . . . .

# Tribe VIII.—ASTATINI.

This tribe is formed of the genus *Astatus*, and is based chiefly on the strange disposition of the eyes of the male sex; they meet on the vertex, a characteristic not found in any other genus of the fossorial Hymenoptera, and not, as far as I know, in any genus of the Order.

# Tribe IX.—DIPLOPLECTRINI.

The genus *Diploplectron* forms this tribe. The chief characters are that both sexes have the middle tibiæ two spurred, the very short submarginal cell and the prominent and very long prothorax. It is evidently allied to the European genus *Dinetus*, which probably belongs to this tribe. As the latter is the older genus, the name proposed for this tribe will have to give way to *Dinetini*, but as *Dinetus* does not occur in North America, and as this is simply a classification of the forms inhabiting that region, I prefer to use the name proposed above.

# Tribe X.-MISCOPHINI.

# Tribe XI.-LYRODINI.

Lyroda, upon which this tribe is based, might be placed in the Larrini, were it not for the regularly formed and distinct ocelli. The only other character worth mentioning in which it differs from the following tribe, is the peculiar shape of the prothorax above, which is being apparently twice emarginate, with the intervening space strongly developed.

#### Tribe XII.-LARRINI.

Under this head are placed all those genera of the old family Larridæ, which have the hind ocelli distorted and more or less obsolete.

- Just within the inner eye margins there is a more or less developed longitudinal fold or swelling.
  - Mandibles not dentate within; outer side of anterior tibiæ armed with strong spines; pygidium (Q) not pubescent . . . LARRA Fabr. Mandibles armed with one or two teeth within.

Pronotum not drawn under the dorsulum; metanotum shorter than the dorsulum; anterior femora ( $\mathcal{F}$ ) emarginate near the base as in *Tachysphex* and some species of *Tachytes*; pygidial area on apical portion with short, stiff hairs.

Within the inner eye margins there are no signs of a swelling or fold.
Comb on anterior tarsi (♀) composed of stiff, tolerably short thorns; pygidial area entirely covered with pubescence; hind ocelli linear, hooked at upper end; fore femora of ♂ either emarginate or not emarginate near the base beneath. TACHYTES Pz.
Comb on anterior tarsi (♀) composed of very long flexible spines or bristles; pygidial area naked; hind ocelli oval; fore femora (♂) always emarginate near the base beneath. TACHYSPHEX Kohl.

# Tribe XIII.-TRYPOXYLONINI.

## Subfamily OXYBELINÆ.

In my opinion the peculiar armature of the metathorax and postscutellum, together with the form of the eyes and neuration, justifies the retention of the genus *Oxybelus* in a subfamily. Saussure forms a tribe of it.

[1894.

1894.]

#### Subfamily CRABRONINÆ.

Eyes hairy; mandibles emarginate exteriorly.

Eyes not hairy; mandible not emarginate externally.

 $\ldots \ldots CRABRO Fabr. (= Rhopalum).$ 



Fox, William J. 1894. "A Proposed Classification of the Fossorial Hymenoptera of North America." *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 46, 292–307.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/18073</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/84440</u>

Holding Institution MBLWHOI Library

Sponsored by MBLWHOI Library

# Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.