with figures, are given by Mr. Lucas, who compares them with the corresponding parts of *Phalacrocorax carbo*, *P. urile*, and *P. dilophus*. Mr. Lucas finds *P. perspicillatus* "to have been a much heavier bird than *P. carbo*, and a bird of weaker flight; with more robust and muscular legs, and a more slender and more feeble head and neck."—J. A. A.

Lucas on the Osteology of the Thrushes and Wrens.*—Mr. Lucas concludes "that the Miminæ hold a somewhat intermediate position between the Wrens and Thrushes, and if the characters described are of sufficient value to be considered family characters (which is extremely doubtful) each of the groups under consideration seems to have equal right in that respect.

"The Wrens, as represented by the species in hand, form a harmonious group, agreeing very closely with one another in their osteology, and presenting some well-marked distinctive characters.

"The Thrushes also, when compared with the Wrens, present well-defined characters, and while differing among themselves more than do the Wrens, these differences are nevertheless very slight.

"Aside from Galeoscoptes, the Miminæ are fairly well marked, having a very characteristic shape to the maxillo-palatine process. This maxillo-palatine is so entirely different from that of the Wrens that from what little experience I have had I should hesitate to unite two groups so dissimilar in this respect. On the other hand, Galeoscoptes has such decided leanings toward the Thrushes, not only in its skull, but in other portions of the skeleton, that it would seem to connect them with the Miminæ. Be this as it may, Galeoscoptes is certainly nearer to the Thrushes than any other member of its group, while Harporhynchus seems to be the farthest removed."

It would thus seem that the position of the Miminæ as a subfamily of the Turdidæ was more in accordance with the osteological characters of the Miminæ than is its present position among the Troglodytidæ. "In fact," says Mr. Lucas, "it seems more and more clear that the Miminæ should not be included in the very sharply defined family Troglodytidæ." On the other hand, he believes that the true affinities of both Chamæa and Certhia are with the Wrens.

Mr. Lucas's important paper is illustrated with figures of the skull, sternum, and pelvis in *Merula*, *Campylorhynchus*, and *Harporhynchus*.— J. A. A.

Shufeldt on the Osteology of the Ardeinæ. †—This paper contains a detailed description of the osteology of Ardea herodias and Nycticorax violaceus, with excellent figures of the principal parts of the skeleton in

^{*} Notes on the Osteology of the Thrushes, Miminæ, and Wrens. By Frederic A. Lucas. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., 1888, pp. 173-180.

[†]Osteological Studies of the Subfamily Ardeinæ. By R. W. Shufeldt, M. D., C. M. Z. S. Journ. Comp. Med. and Surg., July and October, 1889. (Separates repaged.)

these two forms, as well as of some bones of Ardea candidissima. The paper concludes with a 'Synoptical and Comparative Review of the chief Osteological Characters of certain species of North American Ardeinæ.

—J. A. A.

Shufeldt on the Relationships of the Genus Chamæa. *- Upon a careful comparison of the structure, external and internal, of Chamæa with a variety of more or less closely allied forms, Dr. Shufeldt finds its closest agreement to be with the genus Psaltriparus, and that it thus has distinctly Parine rather than Troglodytine affinities. The principal forms with which comparisons were made are, among Wrens, the genera Thryothorus, Salpinctes, Campylorhynchus, and Cinnicerthia; among Tits, the genera Parus, Lophophanes, Psaltriparus, Ægithaliscus, and Auriparus; among other birds, the genera Certhia, Regulus, Polioptila, Accentor, etc. He first compares in detail their pterylography and topographical anatomy, and then their osteology and more or less their viseral anatomy. Figures of the skulls are given of eight species, including of course Chamæa. In the totality of its characters Chamæa is found to be much more closely related to the Bush-Tits than to any of the Wrens, unless it be the South American genus Cinnicerthia, which, however, is known to Dr. Shufeldt only from an examination of skins and plates representing its external characters. The last-named genus he conjectures, we fear without just grounds, may have, like Chamaa, Parine affinities. His passing remarks on Perisoreus are of interest, as showing that while in its external characters it so strongly recalls the Tits, it is essentially a Garruline bird, a comparison of the skeleton of Perisoreus with that of Parus at once dispelling the resemblance suggested by the external characters.— J. A. A.

Shufeldt's 'Studies of the Macrochires'.—Under this title† the author treats at some length several forms not belonging to the group of Macrochires, as Ampelis cedrorum (pp. 306-318), Trogon mexicanus and T. puella (pp. 318-338), and the North American Hirundinidæ (pp. 352-355) the latter with special reference to their relationship to the Swifts. Ampelis, as shown by Garrod, may be regarded as "an average Oscinine bird," with, says Dr. Shufeldt, "here and there in its economy traces of a Clamatorial type, such as is shown by its free lachrymal bone and a few other minor points." It apparently has no close morphological relationship with the Hirundinidæ. In the present memoir it was chosen on account of its average Passerine character for comparison with the other forms treated.

^{*} On the position of Chamæa in the System. By R. W. Shufeldt. Journ. of Morph., Vol. III, No. 3, pp. 475-502.

[†] Studies of the Macrochires, Morphological and otherwise, with the view of indicating their Relationships and defining their several Positions in the System. By R. W. Shufeldt, M. D., C. M. Z. S., Captain, Medical Corps, U. S. Army (communicated by W. K. Parker, F. R. S., F. L. S.). Journ. Linn. Soc., Zoölogy, Vol. XX, pp. 299-394 pll. xvii-xxiv. (Published Oct., 1889.)



Shufeldt, Robert Wilson. 1890. "Shufeldt on the Osteology of the Ardeinæ." *The Auk* 7, 277–278. https://doi.org/10.2307/4067996.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/54990

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/4067996

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/87400

Holding Institution

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by

Smithsonian

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.